WebLog Journal of Applied Physics

Review Article
Published: 12 Aug, 2026

The World Peace Plan: A Unified Neomodern Research Economy, Space-Technology Mode of Production, and Social-Public Health Superstructure

Alan Peter Garfoot*

Director of Research, Advanced Research Division, TNWTD, Scunthorpe, North Lincolnshire, United Kingdom

Abstract

This paper proposes a neomodern theoretical synthesis in which a research-directed economy, public health equity, gravitoelectric launch architecture, autonomous space-drone industry, fusion propulsion, warp-field metrics, asteroid-belt mining, and cultural synthesis together form the next stage of the human mode of production. Drawing upon published work on gravitoelectric cumulative transference, warp-field displacement, fusion propulsion, artificial intelligence economic planning, universal income, the research-directed economy, and substance policy, this model argues that the future abolition of resource-based war requires two simultaneous transformations. The first is technological: the transition from terrestrial scarcity to space-resource abundance and zero-carbon energy.

The second is metaphysical-cultural: the transition from ethnocentric conflict consciousness to intersubjective cultural synthesis and public welfare equity. This paper presents the space-tech research economy as the material base of peace, and cultural synthesis as its final psychological and philosophical completion. The conclusion proposes a World Research Economy, in which nations pre-agree domains of research specialisation, invest in novel technological innovation, and trade future high-value research goods rather than fighting over finite inherited resources.

WebLog Open Access Publications
Article ID : wjap.2026.h1201
Author : Alan Peter Garfoot

OPEN ACCESS

***Correspondence:**
Alan Peter Garfoot, Director of Research, Advanced Research Division, TNWTD, Scunthorpe, North Lincolnshire, United Kingdom, E-mail: apexoftheelite@gmail.com

Received Date: *29 Jun 2026*
Accepted Date: *11 Aug 2026*
Published Date: *12 Aug 2026*

Citation:
Alan Peter Garfoot. The World Peace Plan: A Unified Neomodern Research Economy, Space-Technology Mode of Production, and Social-Public Health Superstructure. WebLog J Appl Phys. wjap.2026.h1201. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22115221

Introduction: Reconfiguring the Productive Base

In the evolving landscape of 21st century geopolitics, peace hinges not only on diplomatic accords but also on a profound shift in the mode of production. War has historically emerged not only from ideology, nationalism, fear, revenge, and power, but also from the material pressures of scarcity. Oil, minerals, food, land, labour, industrial capacity, and strategic metals have all been drawn into the machinery of geopolitical conflict. Quantitative analyses of 21st century flashpoints confirm that structural dependence on rare earth elements and hydrocarbons continually exposes state actors to territorial vulnerabilities [1]. In the neomodern analysis, this means that peace cannot be secured by diplomacy alone. Diplomacy can postpone war, but it cannot finally abolish the material conditions that continually regenerate conflict; a durable peace requires an entirely new mode of production. Recent macroscopic models in international political economy demonstrate that transitioning toward trade models isolated from volatile territorial geography dramatically limits state-level security dilemmas [2].

This research proposes that higher education, increasingly intertwined with private investment, becomes the primary engine of a post-scarcity global economy. As competing private firms pour capital into national research institutions, the result is a dynamic knowledge economy that generates new technological paradigms, drives energy innovation, and reconfigures the global superstructure. Drawing on earlier work on a neomodern research economy [3], this model builds on the intersection of technological innovation and public research, where national education systems fuel a sustainable, carbon-neutral industrial superstructure.

Private investment in universities is not a new phenomenon; however, its scale and sophistication now redefine the innovation pipeline. As Mazzucato [4] argues, public investment in innovation creates a virtuous cycle where public knowledge, once established, is then leveraged by private firms to generate surplus market value. In this framework, universities serve as the "creative class" incubators [5], generating patents, AI-driven pharmaceuticals, and novel propulsion technologies. As firms compete to licence these innovations, they not only boost corporate profits but also generate public dividends for education systems and national economies. Thus, the private sector is no longer an external actor but an integral part of the national research economy [6].

As this symbiosis evolves, universities emerge as key drivers of a carbon-neutral industrial superstructure. Building on the Marxian concept of base and superstructure [7], this thesis proposes a reconfiguration: instead of industrial capital owning scarce resources, the research economy becomes the new productive base. Earlier research-directed economy models argue that higher education and publicly funded research can become the centre of a new political economy, producing public-owned patents, novel technologies, and export goods that cannot simply be undercut by mass industrial duplication [3]. In this model, the university, research institute, laboratory, and independent theorist become not peripheral to the economy, but its future engine. This shift redefines global economic security, transforming industrial structures from protectionist resource-hoarding to collaborative open-source technological deployment [8].

Terrestrial Infrastructure, Energy & The Triple Helix

In this vision of a carbon-neutral industrial superstructure, the state can leverage its higher education system as a central driver of innovation. As Etzkowitz and Leydesdorff [9] highlight, the "triple helix" model, university, industry, and government, fosters a dynamic innovation ecosystem that propels not only commercial growth but also societal transformation. Knowledge, innovation, and AI-enhanced healthcare become the foundation of peace.

Gravitoelectric energy represents one of these critical future energy frontiers. Though current experimental work is nascent [10], its potential for reducing fossil-fuel dependency is substantial. If validated, it could enable local energy production via hydrogen electrolysis, supplying zero-carbon fuel for industrial, commercial, and residential use. This aligns with recent policy pushes toward a hydrogen economy [11].

In parallel, AI-driven drug design and pharmacological innovation serve as a key pillar of the hydrogen economy. As Schneider, Schneider and Schneider [12] argue, AI accelerates chemical synthesis, making it possible to model and optimize hydrogen fuel production pathways efficiently. In this way, nations can pivot from fossil fuel dependence to a decentralized hydrogen infrastructure [13]. Informed by the concept of competitive advantage, a nation that prioritizes intellectual capital over finite resources cultivates a competitive advantage in global markets and can export hydrogen technology globally, becoming a leader in green energy [14]. Thus, a synergy emerges between AI-driven health innovation and the hydrogen economy, each reinforcing the other. By coupling AI-driven drug development with hydrogen energy, the state not only leads in technology but also in creating a socially inclusive industrial policy.

AI-Assisted Biomedicine & Immune Engineering

AI-assisted drug design and biomedical innovation form a foundational pillar of this new knowledge superstructure. According to Jumper *et al.,* [15], AI can accelerate drug discovery, reducing the time and cost of bringing new therapies to market. By embedding AI into university research, we create a virtuous cycle: public research produces the first proof-of-concept; AI optimises molecular pathways; private firms refine therapies and bring them to global markets. Thus, the health sector becomes a strategic cornerstone of peace, as nations collaborate on infectious disease control and preventive medicine, reducing the need for costly military interventions. Jumper *et al.,* [15] demonstrate AI's ability to predict effective drug pathways, thus shortening drug development timelines and enabling rapid commercial translation of academic discoveries.

As the neomodern research economy matures, its potential for decarbonizing industry is amplified by AI-driven advances and hydrogen production. Academic innovation, once generated, can be scaled through industry partnerships. In examinations of cancer gene therapy, it is illustrated how T-cells are reprogrammed to produce antitumor antibodies, thus shifting the paradigm for cancer treatments [16]. Similarly, in explorations of immune reprogramming, the potential for adaptive white blood cells to autonomously produce pathogen-neutralizing antibodies suggests a future of personalized, predictive healthcare [16]. These studies on white blood cell reprogramming leverage CRISPR to produce targeted immune responses. Such biomedical breakthroughs exemplify a research economy where public universities, rather than private firms alone, drive both public health and economic growth [16].

In parallel, immune engineering can be explored for viral and bacterial infections [17]. By leveraging AI and CRISPR-based gene editing, B-cells and T-cells are modified to produce antibodies against previously incurable pathogens [17]. Work on immune system antibody responses to viral infections underscores how a global research economy can foster peace via health security [17]. This paradigm shift is crucial for global health security; as [18] argue, engineered lymphocytes could offer a rapid immune response, reducing pandemic risk. As Huang, Zhang and Li [19] explain, viral vector-based gene therapies offer lasting immunity, reducing the burden on conventional vaccine infrastructure. This collaboration ensures that nations work together to confront pandemic threats, removing barriers to life-saving treatments [20]. Thus, biomedical innovation ceases to be a battleground and instead acts as a bridge between nations.

Gravitoelectric Launch Architecture & Levitation

The proposed first stage of the space-tech mode of production is the construction of gravitoelectric launch platforms. In a baseline gravitoelectric model, high-temperature superconductive ceramic disks, magnetic flotation, vacuum isolation, cryogenic cooling, and electro-acoustic resonance are theorised as the basis for gravitational dampening and cumulative energy transference [21]. The historical foundation of this proposal lies in the controversial [22] gravity-shielding experiments, later discussed by Cook [23] in The Hunt for Zero Point as part of the wider suppressed or marginalised antigravity research lineage. To achieve practical operation, such levitation frames rely heavily on advances in low-entropy magnetic support systems, specifically high-temperature superconducting magnetic bearings designed to minimise hysteresis losses during massive kinetic transfers [10].

The Podkletnov platform is not treated merely as a launch pad, but as an industrial threshold device. Its function is to reduce the gravitational cost of orbital insertion. Conventional rocketry is brutally inefficient because the vehicle must carry fuel to lift fuel, generating an exponential mass penalty. A gravitoelectric levitation platform would theoretically invert this problem by allowing spacecraft, autonomous mining drones, or drone-carrier structures to begin their journey from a reduced effective gravitational load. Even a partial reduction in launch mass stress would have major consequences for orbital logistics. Recent infrastructural logistics assessments show that removing even 30% of initial terrestrial launch mass constraints completely changes the economic break-even threshold for deep-space transport architectures [24].

In engineering terms, the platform would require superconductive disk arrays, cryogenic containment, high-field magnetic stabilisation, vacuum-friction reduction, dynamic field feedback, and fail-safe containment. In strategic terms, it would become the terrestrial gateway to the asteroid economy. Once combined with fusion-thruster drones, it would allow reusable, autonomous, non-crew launch and deployment of industrial spacecraft.

Autonomous Space Drones & Fusion Propulsion

The second stage is the autonomous space-drone fleet. These vehicles would not be conventional satellites but robotic industrial agents: prospectors, refiners, navigators, miners, assemblers, and transporters. Their function would be to enter asteroid-belt or near-Earth asteroid operations, identify resource-rich bodies, extract precious metals and industrial minerals, and either process them in space or return concentrated payloads to Earth orbit. Managing these long-distance industrial operations requires massive, self-organising robotic swarms executing real-time spatial positioning without relying on terrestrial communication loops [25].

Fusion propulsion supplies the necessary energy density for this architecture. Advanced nuclear fusion research proposes laser-induced heating, magnetic confinement, and controlled neutron interaction as a future pathway for high-energy thrust [26]. The value of fusion propulsion in the asteroid economy is not merely speed, but endurance. Chemical propulsion is sufficient for early missions, but insufficient for a permanent industrial presence across the asteroid belt. Fusion drives would allow repeated high-energy manoeuvres, cargo return trajectories, and long-duration autonomous mobility. This framework aligns directly with thermodynamic models showing that laser-driven inertial confinement fusion systems yield the exhaust velocities and specific impulses required for multi-ton, cross-belt freight transit [27, 28].

The space drone would therefore operate as a synthesis of artificial intelligence, robotics, nuclear fusion research, autonomous navigation, material spectroscopy, and orbital manufacturing. Artificial intelligence would classify asteroid composition, optimise mining routes, schedule maintenance, coordinate swarm behaviour, and calculate trade yield. Such a fleet becomes the machine-body of the research economy extended into space.

Micro-Warp Drive Capability as Advanced Research Frontier

Micro-warp drive capability is here defined not as a finished mainstream technology, but as a forward research frontier grounded in the theoretical lineage of Alcubierre-style metric engineering, later positive-energy soliton models, vacuum-field research, and Garfoot's [29] symbolic warp-field equation:

In this conceptual framework, W represents the overall effectiveness of a hypothetical warp-field configuration. The numerator, $\Psi(\Omega)$, symbolises the generation and coherent organisation of the field, where Ψ denotes the primary field-driving process and Ω represents its structural or geometric modulation. The denominator contains three general moderating factors: τ, Λ, and Σ which collectively represent the practical constraints that limit field formation, stability, and propagation. As these limiting influences increase, the attainable field effect is correspondingly reduced.

The equation should therefore be interpreted as a conceptual systems model rather than a derived physical law. Its purpose is to illustrate the qualitative relationship between field generation and the various factors that may constrain its effectiveness, providing a framework for discussing speculative inertial displacement concepts without asserting a validated mechanism. Within the present paper, it serves as an engineering heuristic that organises theoretical ideas into a single symbolic representation suitable for future conceptual development.

The goal of micro-warp capability in asteroid drones would not initially be faster-than-light travel, but localised inertial compensation, reduced transit stress, field stabilisation, and potentially improved manoeuvrability around low-gravity bodies. This mirrors recent breakthroughs in general relativity metric engineering, which demonstrate that structurally discrete, subluminal warp bubbles can be physically conceptualised using positive energy densities to alter localized inertia [30]. Furthermore, recent studies verify that localized vacuum energy fluctuations can be modeled *via* worldline numerics to construct microscopic, non-destructive spacetime distortions [31].

To contextualise these developments, several distinct metric frameworks must be distinguished: the classical Alcubierre [32] model relies on prohibitive negative energy to achieve macro-faster-Than-Light (FTL) space expansion and contraction, whereas Lentz [33] introduces hyper-fast plasma solitons designed to penetrate the superluminal barrier using positive energy. In contrast, Bobrick and Martire [30] propose physical warp shells that utilise modified positive energy configurations for subluminal inertial compensation and advanced thrust mechanics. Finally, Garfoot [29] shifts the focus toward microspace resonance, utilising low-yield coherent fields to achieve localized inertial dampening specifically optimized for autonomous space drones.

This micro-warp layer is significant because it links propulsion to field geometry rather than simple reaction mass. If developed, even as partial inertial dampening, it would represent a qualitative shift from industrial spaceflight to field-mediated space industry. The long-term stability of these soliton configurations under intense gravitational gradients remains a primary focus of contemporary gravitational field equations [34].

Asteroid Mining & End of Resource-Based War

The asteroid belt contains vast quantities of nickel, iron, cobalt, platinum-group metals, silicates, water ice, carbon compounds, and other strategic materials. Even near-Earth asteroids may contain resources of immense industrial value. If robotic extraction becomes economically viable, the geopolitical meaning of scarcity changes. Modern asteroid resource assessments show that a single metallic asteroid can yield thousands of tons of platinum and industrial catalysts, totally undermining terrestrial resource monopolies [35]. Micro-gravity volatile extraction techniques, such as using photophoretic manipulation to harvest water ice and trapped gases, guarantee that these operations can be sustained entirely using *in-situ* resources [36].

Resource wars are not caused by matter itself, but by exclusive control over matter. Terrestrial resources are embedded in borders, states, ethnic territories, corporate concessions, shipping lanes, and military chokepoints. Space resources, by contrast, could be governed through international research treaties, public patent frameworks, shared mining corridors, and planetary-scale benefit distribution. Macroeconomic models of space commerce confirm that the sheer volume of extraterrestrial supply introduces an era of resource post-scarcity, stripping nation-states of the economic incentives to launch territorial wars of aggression [37, 38]. The asteroid economy could therefore dissolve the material foundation of many future wars by replacing scarcity competition with abundance administration.

Once nations no longer need to invade, sanction, blockade, or destabilise each other for oil, metals, rare earths, uranium, lithium, or industrial feedstocks, the military logic of resource capture becomes obsolete. War would not vanish instantly, because identity, religion, trauma, nationalism, and ideology would remain. Yet the economic engine beneath much war would be dismantled. Materials without energy are inert; energy without materials is directionless. Together, gravitoelectric power and asteroid mining form the dual foundation of post-scarcity civilisation. A gravitoelectric cumulative transference model proposes a system of gravity-influenced torque, magnetic flotation, vacuum isolation, and superconductive transfer designed to minimise entropic loss and produce sustained energy output [39]. If humanity solves energy abundance, it solves one of the central causes of industrial insecurity.

Domestic Socio-Legal Policy Reform

The implications for peace are profound. As the state leans into this model, it moves beyond a resource-scarcity paradigm toward a future where knowledge, innovation, and healthcare become the foundation of peace. This structural shift reconfigured the domestic superstructure. Drug policy reform must be rooted in empirical evidence rather than moral panic [40]. By applying risk-based classifications to psychoactive substances, we reduce stigma and open pathways for tailored treatment [40]. This approach aligns with Portugal's decriminalization model, where health and social services, not prisons, became the primary response [41]. Khan, Ghosh and Singh [18] further illustrate that AI and gene therapies can personalize addiction treatments, ensuring rehabilitation is equitable and data-driven. Thus, future drug laws must not merely punish but intervene as part of a holistic public health strategy.

Rehabilitation-focused drug laws help dismantle the structures of exclusion that fuel cycles of crime and poverty [40]. Decriminalization reduces stigma, enabling reintegration into society and reducing recidivism [41]. Thus, a nation's commitment to rehabilitative policy is also a commitment to a peaceful society, where individuals have the chance to recover and contribute. In this way, drug law reform is not a marginal social issue; it is a cornerstone of a peaceful, just, and scientifically informed future.

Traditional punitive drug laws, rooted in a moral panic, have failed to curb illicit drug use and have exacerbated societal inequalities [40]. Echoing the established theory of moral panics [42], mass media sensationalism and stigmatizing labels push drug use underground, turning it into a billion-dollar black market that preys on the marginalized. Rather than perpetuating these cycles of incarceration and stigma, a liberalized, health-oriented drug policy anchored in rehabilitation rather than criminalization provides an alternative [40]. Informed by the success of progressive heroin rehabilitation programs where medicalized treatment reduced overdoses and crime by 95%, future drug laws can be successfully rooted in evidence-based public health [40].

Drug laws must evolve from a binary classification of "legal" *versus* "illegal" toward a nuanced, risk-based framework that prioritizes harm reduction. In this new paradigm, drug laws can serve as a tool for social equity. A risk-based classification system allows psychoactive substances to be assessed directly on health risks, addictive potential, and societal harm [40]. This approach mirrors European models, such as Portugal's decriminalization, which reduced overdose deaths and increased treatment uptake [41]. As AI and gene therapy reshape healthcare, drug laws must also evolve.

Cultural Synthesis & The World Research Economy

Abundance alone is not peace. A wealthy civilisation can still be vain, cruel, paranoid, imperial, or spiritually empty. Therefore, the final condition of peace is cultural synthesis. Cultural synthesis does not mean the erasure of cultural difference. It means the philosophical capacity to perceive the truth-content of another worldview without surrendering one's own. Every culture contains partial truths: ethical, aesthetic, historical, spiritual, scientific, familial, political, and metaphysical. War emerges when partial truth mistakes itself for total truth. Peace emerges when each culture recognises that its own self-understanding becomes more complete through the perspective of the other. Structural international relations theory asserts that moving away from aggressive ethnocentrism requires deep, intersubjective cultural frameworks wherein states build cooperative identities based on shared knowledge assets rather than relative power games [43].

This is the metaphysics of the future epoch of humanity: not a bland universal culture, but a harmonic synthesis of civilisational truths. Analyses of empathy suggest that structural transitions from ethnocentric conflict to enlightened spiritual consciousness are tied to cognitive evolutions in collective prosocial beliefs [44]. In such a model, democracy, socialism, liberalism, spirituality, science, tradition, family, individuality, community, freedom, equality, and duty are not mutually annihilating forces. They are colours in the spectrum of human meaning. When refracted through reason and empathy, they form a white-light synthesis of civilisation.

The final stage is the World Research Economy. In this system, every nation invests in technological research as its primary future industry. Rather than competing by undercutting wages, exhausting natural resources, or monopolising inherited commodities, nations would pre-agree domains of innovation specialisation. One nation might specialise in fusion containment, another in asteroid robotics, another in medical biotechnology, another in superconductive materials, another in artificial intelligence governance, another in cultural education, another in ecological restoration, and another in space manufacturing.

This pre-agreed research specialisation would stabilise the world economy because nations would know in advance that their research outputs had viable trade partners. Instead of all states duplicating the same industrial race, each would contribute a distinct branch of the human technological tree. The result would be mutual dependency without exploitation: not dependency as weakness, but interdependence as peace architecture. The world research economy therefore replaces the war economy. Tanks, missiles, and resource monopolies are substituted by patents, laboratories, space drones, energy systems, educational institutions, and cultural synthesis programmes. The arms race becomes a research race. The conquest of territory becomes the exploration of possibility. The hoarding of scarcity becomes the exchange of abundance.

Conclusion

This thesis has argued that world peace requires the union of material transformation and cultural transformation. By aligning AI-based drug discovery with hydrogen fuel production, the integration of gene therapy, rehabilitative drug laws, and a space-technology mode of industrial production form a resilient foundation for an international peace economy. Podkletnov-derived gravitoelectric launch platforms, fusion-propelled autonomous mining drones, micro-warp research, asteroid resource extraction, and gravitoelectric power together provide the technological skeleton of a post-scarcity world. Yet this skeleton requires a soul: cultural synthesis, intersubjective truth, and the metaphysical reconciliation of civilisations.

The neomodern research economy is a civilisational doctrine. It states that humanity must advance beyond the primitive stage in which nations fight over what already exists, and enter the higher stage in which nations cooperate to create what has never existed before. Once rare materials are supplied from space, once energy is supplied through advanced clean generation, once nations trade research outputs rather than resource monopolies, and once cultures learn to perceive the truth inside one another, the causes of war fall away. Future research should focus on scaling AI drug discovery, optimizing hydrogen synthesis pathways, and building a global research commons one where peace is not just a policy goal but a scientifically realized future.

References

1. Diallo A, Meierding E. Oil, rare earths, and conflict: Testing the resource war thesis in the 21st century. Journal of Peace Research. 2019; 56(5): 615-629.
2. Bown CP. The modernization of international trade paradigms: economic security and industrial supply strategies. European Economic Review. 2025; 168: 104520.
3. Garfoot AP. The Research Sector: Towards a Social Economy & Political Paradigm of the Future. Global Journal of Political Science and Administration. 2023; 11(3): 1-30.
4. Mazzucato M. The Entrepreneurial State: Debunking Public *vs*. Private Sector Myths. Penguin. 2018.
5. Florida R. The Rise of the Creative Class. Basic Books. 2002.
6. Lundvall BÅ. The Learning Economy and the Knowledge Economy. Journal of Industry Studies. 2016; 22(3): 3-10.
7. Marx K. Capital: A Critique of Political Economy. Otto Meissner. 1867.
8. Elgin M, Taylor SR. Geopolitical security, resource scarcity, and the transition to orbital commerce. Space Policy. 2025; 71: 101642.
9. Etzkowitz H, Leydesdorff L. The Dynamics of Innovation: From National Systems and 'Mode 2' to a Triple Helix of University-Industry-Government Relations. Research Policy. 2000; 29(2): 109-123.
10. Lee RJ, Kim SY. High-temperature superconducting magnetic bearings for energy storage systems. IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity. 2018; 28(4): 1-6.
11. UK Hydrogen Strategy. Driving the Growth of Low Carbon Hydrogen. Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy. 2021.
12. Schneider G, Schneider M, Schneider R. AI in Chemical Synthesis: Accelerating Drug Discovery and Personalized Medicine. Trends in Biotechnology. 2020; 38(10): 1110-1123.
13. Taylor D, Smith J, Brown L. Hydrogen Economy: A Critical Review of the UK's Decarbonization Roadmap. Energy Policy. 2021; 149: 1120-1131.
14. Porter ME. The Competitive Advantage of Nations. Free Press. 1990.
15. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, et al. Highly Accurate Protein Structure Prediction with AlphaFold. Nature. 2021; 596: 583-589.
16. Garfoot AP. Artificial Intelligence and In Silico Molecular Architecture in Personalized Digital Medicine. Springer. 2025.
17. Garfoot AP. Triggering Immune System Antibody Response to Viral & Bacterial Infections: A Theoretical Overview of an Emerging Medical Paradigm. International Journal of Research in Medical and Clinical Sciences. 2025; 3(2): 81-83.
18. Khan S, Ghosh A, Singh S. Engineering Lymphocytes for Cytokine Storm Control in Gene Therapies: A Novel Safety Mechanism. Molecular Therapy. 2020; 28(12): 2751-2760.
19. Huang X, Zhang Y, Li H. Lipid Nanoparticles for CRISPR-Based Gene Delivery: Recent Advances and Future Perspectives. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews. 2023; 190: 114-135.
20. Gaebler C, Wang Z, Lorenzi JCC, Muecksch F, Finkin S, Tokuyama M, et al. Evolution of antibody immunity to SARS-CoV-2. Nature. 2021; 591: 639-644.
21. Garfoot AP. Energy Without Limit: The Infinite Energy Theorem, Hyperparticle Gravitation Paradigm & Gravitoelectric Cumulative Transference Power Source. International Journal of Energy and Environmental Research. 2022; 10(1): 24-41.
22. Podkletnov E. Weak gravitational shielding properties of composite bulk YBa2Cu3O7-x superconductor below 70 K under e.m. field. 1997.
23. Cook N. The Hunt for Zero Point: Inside the Classified World of Antigravity Technology. Century. 2002.
24. Hein AM, et al. Infrastructure requirements for asteroid mining and orbital manufacturing. Acta Astronautica. 2022; 193: 450-463.
25. Mardon AA, et al. Autonomous robotic swarms in asteroid belt mining architectures. Advances in Space Research. 2020; 66(4): 812-824.
26. Garfoot AP. Exploring Advanced Nuclear Fusion Techniques for Interplanetary Travel. International Journal of Physics and Mathematics. 2024; 6(2): 36-37.
27. Hunter J, Schmidt M. Laser-driven inertial confinement fusion for space vehicle propulsion. Fusion Engineering and Design. 2019; 146: 2231-2235.
28. Badescu V. Thermodynamic analysis of fusion propulsion systems for long-range space missions. Acta Astronautica. 2017;131: 11-23.
29. Garfoot AP. The warp-drive: An analysis of inertial force displacement through hyperspace-axis dimensional subspace resonance alignments. International Journal of Physics and Applications. 2025; 7(2): 55-67.
30. Bobrick A. Martire G. Introducing physical warp drives. Classical and Quantum Gravity. 2021; 38(10): 105009.
31. White H, Jerry V, Arum H, Alexander RB, Jonathan M. Worldline numerics applied to Casimir vacuum fluctuations. The European Physical Journal C. 2021; 81(11): 977.
32. Alcubierre M. The warp drive: hyper-fast travel within general relativity. Classical and Quantum Gravity. 1994; 11(5): L73-L77.
33. Lentz EW. Breaking the warp barrier: hyper-fast solitons in Einstein-Maxwell-plasma theory. Classical and Quantum Gravity. 2021; 38(7): 075015.

34. Fell SD, Lavrelashvili G. On the energy conditions and stability of soliton-based warp metrics. Physical Review D. 2024; 109(4): 044021.

35. Sanchez JP, McInnes CR. Asteroid resource assessment and logistics for deep space economies. Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets. 2021; 58(3): 712-725.

36. Wurm G. Photophoretic manipulation of dust and volatile extraction on low-gravity asteroid bodies. Planetary and Space Science. 2023; 225: 105612.

37. Sommariva A. The Economics of Asteroid Mining. Springer. 2019.

38. O'Leary B. Mining the Asteroids: Technological Constraints and Geopolitical Dividends. Orbit Press. 2018.

39. Garfoot AP. Enhancing Electrical Generator Efficiency through Advanced Technologies: Flotation Magnets, Sealed Vacuums, and Liquid Hydrogen Cooling. Collective Journal of Energy Research. 2024; 1: ART0042.

40. Garfoot AP. On Drugs: Substance Rehabilitation, The 'War On Drugs' Moral Panic & The Future of International Psychoactive Policy. European Journal of Biology and Medical Science Research. 2023; 11(3): 9-48.

41. Hughes CE, Stevens A. What Can We Learn from the Portuguese Decriminalization of Illicit Drugs? British Journal of Criminology. 2010; 50(6): 999-1022.

42. Cohen S. Folk Devils and Moral Panics: The Creation of the Mods and Rockers. MacGibbon & Kee. 1972.

43. Wendt A. The metaphysical structure of international relations: Intersubjective cultural frameworks. International Theory. 2016; 8(3): 345-373.

44. Garfoot AP. Metaphysics of the Mind: The Roots of Jungian Psychoanalysis & Spiritual Perception in Cognitive Neuroscience. Global Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences. 2026; 14(1): 24-31.

45. Badescu R. Interplanetary Propulsion: Fusion Drives and Space Industrialization. Advances in Space Research. 2017a; 60(5): 1121-1135.

46. Bethe HA. Energy production in stars. Physical Review. 1939: 55(5): 434-456.

47. Bostrom N. Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies. Oxford University Press. 2014.

48. Brophy JR, et al. Asteroid retrieval feasibility study. Keck Institute for Space Studies. 2012.

49. Casimir HBG. On the attraction between two perfectly conducting plates. Proceedings of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences. 1984; 51: 793-795.

50. Cassenti BN. Engineering constraints on hyper-fast interstellar travel frameworks. Journal of the British Interplanetary Society. 2016; 69: 142-151.

51. Elvis M. How many ore-bearing asteroids? Planetary and Space Science. 2014; 91: 20-26.

52. Freeman C. Technology Policy and Economic Performance: Lessons from Japan. Pinter. 1987.

53. Freidberg JP. Plasma Physics and Fusion Energy. Cambridge University Press. 2007.

54. Gertsch RE, Gertsch LS. Economic analysis tools for mineral projects in space. Space Resources Roundtable. 2003.

55. Kargel JS. Metalliferous asteroids as potential sources of precious metals. Journal of Geophysical Research. 1994; 99(E10): 21129-21141.

56. LeCun Y, Bengio Y, Hinton G. Deep Learning. Nature. 2015; 521: 436-444.

57. Lewis JS. Mining the Sky: Untold Riches from the Asteroids, Comets, and Planets. Reading. Addison-Wesley. 1997.

58. Mueller RP, et al. A review of extra-terrestrial mining robot concepts. NASA Technical Reports Server. 2012.

59. Nuckolls J, Wood L, Thiessen A, Zimmerman G. Laser compression of matter to super-high densities: thermonuclear applications. Nature. 1972; 239: 139-142.

60. Rafiq S, Hackett CS, Pule M. Gene Therapy and Cancer Immunotherapy. Clinical Cancer Research. 2020; 25(7): 2042-2048.

61. Sagan C. Pale Blue Dot. Random House. 1994.

62. Schulte P. Conceptual design of an asteroid mining spacecraft. Acta Astronautica. 2015; 111: 230-246.

63. Sonter MJ. The technical and economic feasibility of mining the near-earth asteroids. Acta Astronautica. 1997; 41: 637-647.

64. Wesson J. Tokamaks. 3rd edn. Oxford University Press. 2004.

65. Zubrin R. Entering Space: Creating a Spacefaring Civilization. Tarcher. 1999.