WebLog Journal of Anatomy

Mini Review

Published: 07 Feb, 2026

Cancer as an Anatomical Disease

Ioanna Tsatsou[1#] and Dimitra Bartzi[2#]*

[1]One Day Clinic, Oncology-Hematology Department, Hellenic Airforce General Hospital, Athens, Greece

[2]Oncology Department, Hellenic Airforce General Hospital, Athens, Greece

[#]Authors contribute equally

WebLog Open Access Publications

Article ID : wjat.2026.b0711

Author : Dr. Ioanna Tsatsou, Ph.D.

Abstract

Cancer is most commonly described as a molecular and genetic disorder driven by mutations that alter cell proliferation and survival. However, malignant transformation also represents an anatomical phenomenon characterized by progressive disruption of normal tissue architecture at cellular, histological, and organ levels. This brief review examines cancer from an anatomical perspective, emphasizing alterations in tissue organization, cellular morphology, stromal composition, vascular structure as well as anatomical pathways of invasion and metastasis. Viewing cancer as an anatomical disease highlights the enduring relevance of anatomical sciences in cancer research and clinical practice.

Keywords: Cancer; Anatomy; Histopathology; Tumor Microenvironment; Tissue Architecture; Oncology

OPEN ACCESS

***Correspondence:**

Dr. Ioanna Tsatsou, Ph.D., One Day Clinic, Oncology-Hematology Department, Hellenic Airforce General Hospital, Athens, Greece, Mobile: +306983525725;

E-mail: itsatsou@uniwa.gr

Received Date: *11 Jan 2026*

Accepted Date: *05 Feb 2026*

Published Date: *07 Feb 2026*

Citation:

Tsatsou I, Bartzi D. Cancer as an Anatomical Disease. WebLog J Anat. wjat.2026.b0711. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18780254

Introduction

Anatomy constitutes the structural foundation of medicine, offering insight into the organization and spatial relationships of cells, tissues, organs, and systems [1]. Traditional anatomical studies focus on the description of normal form and structure [2]; however, disease states, particularly cancer, reveal the consequences of structural disintegration when regulatory mechanisms fail [3]. Cancer is not only a result of molecular alterations but also a manifestation of disrupted anatomical order [4].

Cancer development leads to progressive distortion of tissue architecture, invasion of surrounding structures, and destruction of normal anatomical boundaries [5]. These changes are central to pathological diagnosis and clinical decision-making [6]. Despite advances in molecular oncology, anatomical evaluation remains indispensable for tumor classification, staging, and therapeutic planning [7]. This brief review explores cancer as an anatomical disease, integrating histological, microanatomical, and gross anatomical perspectives to demonstrate how malignancy reshapes biological structure and function.

Normal Tissue Organization as an Anatomical Reference

Normal tissues exhibit highly regulated organization, ensuring functional efficiency and structural stability. Cells are arranged in specific patterns, supported by extracellular matrices and vascular networks that maintain homeostasis. Epithelial tissues demonstrate polarity and stratification, resting upon an intact basement membrane that defines anatomical separation from connective tissues [8, 9].

Connective tissues provide mechanical support through collagen fibers, elastin, and ground substance, while fibroblasts regulate extracellular matrix turnover [10]. Organs further display hierarchical organization, with specialized functional units arranged in reproducible anatomical patterns [11].

This structural order serves as a reference against which pathological deviations are assessed. Cancer represents a sustained departure from this organization, leading to functional impairment and anatomical disintegration [12].

Histopathological Features of Malignancy

Cellular Morphological Alterations

One of the most recognizable anatomical features of cancer is abnormal cellular morphology. Malignant cells commonly display nuclear enlargement, hyperchromasia, irregular nuclear membranes, and increased nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratios. Mitotic figures are often numerous and atypical, reflecting uncontrolled proliferation. These morphological changes indicate loss of differentiation and regulatory control, providing the basis for histological grading. The degree of cellular atypia correlates with tumor aggressiveness and clinical prognosis [13-15].

Architectural Disorganization of Tissues

Beyond individual cellular changes, cancer profoundly disrupts tissue architecture. Normal glandular, epithelial, or stromal arrangements become distorted or completely effaced. In carcinomas, malignant cells breach the basement membrane, invading adjacent connective tissues and eliminating clear anatomical boundaries. This architectural disarray distinguishes malignant neoplasms from benign lesions, which typically retain organized structure despite increased cell numbers [16-18].

Anatomical Remodeling of the Tumor Microenvironment

Structural components of the tumor microenvironment

The tumor microenvironment is an anatomically complex entity composed of malignant cells and non-neoplastic components, including fibroblasts, immune cells, endothelial cells, and extracellular matrix. These elements interact dynamically, contributing to tumor growth and progression [19]. Anatomical remodeling of the microenvironment results in altered tissue stiffness, disrupted vascular organization, and formation of new stromal compartments that support malignancy [20].

Stromal alterations and mechanical forces

Cancer-associated fibroblasts play a central role in remodeling the extracellular matrix by producing excess collagen and matrix-degrading enzymes. This process modifies tissue tension and creates pathways for tumor invasion. Increased matrix stiffness has been shown to influence cell behavior, promoting migration and resistance to apoptosis [21, 22]. These structural changes underscore the importance of anatomical context in cancer progression.

Angiogenesis and abnormal vascular anatomy

Tumor expansion necessitates the development of new blood vessels. However, tumor-induced angiogenesis produces vasculature that is structurally abnormal. Tumor vessels often exhibit irregular lumens, discontinuous endothelial linings, and poor smooth muscle support [23].

Such anatomical irregularities result in inefficient blood flow, regional hypoxia, and uneven delivery of therapeutic agents [24]. So, understanding the unique vascular anatomy of tumors is essential for imaging interpretation and targeted treatment strategies.

Anatomical Basis of Invasion and Metastasis

Local tissue invasion

Malignant tumors spread by infiltrating adjacent tissues and exploiting natural anatomical planes. Cancer cells penetrate basement membranes and migrate along connective tissue septa, nerves, and vascular channels [25]. Perineural invasion, in particular, reflects tumor adaptation to pre-existing anatomical pathways [26]. Local invasion compromises organ integrity and represents a key criterion in tumor staging [27].

Lymphatic and hematogenous dissemination

The anatomical arrangement of lymphatic and blood vessels determines patterns of metastatic spread. Tumor cells entering lymphatic channels are transported to regional lymph nodes, while hematogenous dissemination commonly targets organs with high blood flow, such as the liver, lungs, and bone marrow [28-30]. Accurate anatomical knowledge of these pathways is fundamental to cancer staging and surveillance.

Macroscopic Anatomical Manifestations of Cancer

At a macroscopic level, cancer alters organ morphology, size, and consistency [14]. Tumors may present as nodular masses, infiltrative lesions, or ulcerative growths depending on tissue origin and growth pattern. Advanced malignancies often distort normal anatomical landmarks and compress surrounding structures [31]. Macroscopic examination during surgery or pathological assessment provides valuable information regarding tumor extent and respectability [32].

Anatomical Pathology in Diagnosis and Staging

Anatomical pathology integrates microscopic and macroscopic observations to establish definitive cancer diagnoses [33]. Biopsy specimens are evaluated for tumor type, grade, invasion depth, margin status, and lymphovascular involvement [34]. Staging systems rely heavily on anatomical parameters, linking structural findings to prognosis and treatment selection [35]. As such, anatomical pathology remains central to multidisciplinary cancer care.

Surgical and Clinical Anatomy in Oncology

Successful cancer surgery depends on precise anatomical knowledge. Surgeons must understand tumor relationships to vital structures to achieve complete excision while minimizing functional loss. Awareness of anatomical spread patterns reduces recurrence and improves patient outcomes [36]. Further, advances in imaging and minimally invasive techniques emphasize the need for detailed anatomical understanding of tumors.

Emerging Anatomical Technologies in Cancer Research

Modern anatomical analysis has evolved past two-dimensional slides [37]. Today, techniques like tissue clearing and spatial mapping enable researchers to explore tumor landscapes in three dimensions, capturing structural intricacies at a nanoscale resolution [38]. These breakthroughs do more than just show us what cancer looks like. They integrate structural morphology with molecular insights, solidifying anatomy's place at the forefront of cancer research [39].

Implications for Clinical Practice

Understanding cancer as an anatomical disease has direct and significant implications for clinical practice. Detailed knowledge of tumor-related structural alterations enhances diagnostic accuracy by enabling clinicians and pathologists to interpret histological and imaging findings within a precise anatomical context. Recognition of patterns of tissue invasion, vascular remodeling, and lymphatic spread informs accurate staging and guides therapeutic decision-making. Furthermore, anatomical assessment of tumor margins, stromal composition, and vascular architecture contributes to prognostic evaluation and influences the selection of adjuvant therapies. Integrating anatomical insights with molecular data promotes a more comprehensive, patient-specific approach to cancer management, reinforcing the continued relevance of anatomical sciences in modern clinical oncology [40].

Conclusions

Cancer is fundamentally a disease of altered anatomy, characterized by progressive disruption of normal structural organization. From cellular atypia to organ-level deformation, malignancy reshapes the body's architecture in ways that directly influence function, diagnosis, and treatment.

While molecular insights continue to advance oncology, anatomical sciences remain indispensable. Integrating structural perspectives with biological mechanisms provides a comprehensive understanding of cancer and strengthens the foundation of clinical practice.

References

1. Fligner CL, Clark JI, Clark JM, Larson LW, Poole JE. Surgical anatomy for the implanting physician. In: Surgical implantation of cardiac rhythm devices. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2017. p. 13.
2. Ghosh SK. The evolution of epistemological methodologies in anatomy: from antiquity to modern times. Anat Rec (Hoboken). 2022 Apr; 305(4): 803–17.
3. Heng HH, Stevens JB, Bremer SW, Ye KJ, Liu G, Ye CJ. The evolutionary mechanism of cancer. J Cell Biochem. 2010 Jun; 109(6): 1072–84.
4. Roy NK, Bordoloi D, Monisha J, Anip A, Padmavathi G, Kunnumakkara AB. Cancer—an overview and molecular alterations in cancer. In: Fusion genes and cancer. Singapore: Springer; 2017. p. 1–15.
5. Sriharikrishnaa S, Suresh PS, Prasada K S. An introduction to fundamentals of cancer biology. In: Optical polarimetric modalities for biomedical research. Cham: Springer; 2023. p. 307–30.
6. Cooper GM. The cell: a molecular approach. 2nd ed. Sunderland (MA): Sinauer Associates; 2000. Chapter: The development and causes of cancer. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK9963/
7. Greene FL. A cancer staging perspective: the value of anatomical staging. J Surg Oncol. 2007 Jan; 95(1): 6–7. doi:10.1002/jso.20633.
8. Hagios C, Lochter A, Bissell MJ. Tissue architecture: the ultimate regulator of epithelial function? Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 1998 Jun; 353(1370): 857–70.
9. Johnson AW, Harley B, editors. Mechanobiology of cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions. New York: Springer; 2011.
10. Halper J, Kjaer M. Basic components of connective tissues and extracellular matrix. In: Progress in heritable soft connective tissue diseases. Berlin: Springer; 2013. p. 31–47.
11. Grizzi F, Chiriva-Internati M. The complexity of anatomical systems. Theor Biol Med Model. 2005 Oct; 2: 26.
12. Tarin D. Understanding cancer: the molecular mechanisms, biology, pathology and clinical implications of malignant neoplasia. Cham: Springer Nature; 2023.
13. Singh I, Lele TP. Nuclear morphological abnormalities in cancer: a search for unifying mechanisms. Results Probl Cell Differ. 2022; 70: 443–67. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-06573-6_16.
14. Fischer EG. Nuclear morphology and the biology of cancer cells. Acta Cytol. 2020; 64(6): 511–19.
15. Baba AI, Câtoi C. Comparative oncology. Bucharest (RO): Publishing House of the Romanian Academy; 2007. Chapter 3, Tumor cell morphology. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK9553/
16. Rønnov-Jessen L, Petersen OW, Bissell MJ. Cellular changes involved in conversion of normal to malignant breast: importance of the stromal reaction. Physiol Rev. 1996 Jan; 76(1): 69–125.
17. Chaudhury M. Morphology of tissue disruption at sites of high-grade tumors. World J Oncol. 2020 Aug; 11(4): 127–38. doi:10.14740/wjon1262.
18. Man YG, Grinkemeyer M, Izadjoo M, Stojadinovic A. Malignant transformation and stromal invasion from normal or hyperplastic tissues: true or false? J Cancer. 2011; 2: 413–24.
19. Henke E, Nandigama R, Ergün S. Extracellular matrix in the tumor microenvironment and its impact on cancer therapy. Front Mol Biosci. 2020 Jan; 6: 160. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2019.00160.
20. Goetz JG, Minguet S, Navarro-Lérida I, Lazcano JJ, Samaniego R, Calvo E, et al. Biomechanical remodeling of the microenvironment by stromal caveolin-1 favors tumor invasion and metastasis. Cell. 2011 Jul; 146(1): 148–63. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.05.040.
21. Liu T, Zhou L, Li D, Andl T, Zhang Y. Cancer-associated fibroblasts build and secure the tumor microenvironment. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2019 Apr; 7: 60. doi:10.3389/fcell.2019.00060.
22. Prakash J, Shaked Y. The interplay between extracellular matrix remodeling and cancer therapeutics. Cancer Discov. 2024 Aug; 14(8): 1375–88.
23. Papetti M, Herman IM. Mechanisms of normal and tumor-derived angiogenesis. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2002 May; 282(5): C947–70.
24. Dewhirst MW, Secomb TW. Transport of drugs from blood vessels to tumour tissue. Nat Rev Cancer. 2017 Dec; 17(12): 738–50.
25. Krakhmal NV, Zavyalova MV, Denisov EV, Vtorushin SV, Perelmuter VM. Cancer invasion: patterns and mechanisms. Acta Naturae. 2015; 7(2): 17–28.
26. Chen SH, Zhang BY, Zhou B, Zhu CZ, Sun LQ, Feng YJ. Perineural invasion of cancer: a complex crosstalk between cells and molecules in the perineural niche. Am J Cancer Res. 2019; 9(1): 1–21.
27. Telloni SM. Tumor staging and grading: a primer. In: Molecular profiling: methods and protocols. New York: Springer; 2017. p. 1–17.
28. Liu Z, Chen J, Ren Y, et al. Multi-stage mechanisms of tumor metastasis and therapeutic strategies. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2024; 9: 270. doi:10.1038/s41392-024-01955-5.
29. Wong SY, Hynes RO. Lymphatic or hematogenous dissemination: how does a metastatic tumor cell decide? Cell Cycle. 2006 Apr; 5(8): 812–17.
30. Leong SP, Naxerova K, Keller L, et al. Molecular mechanisms of cancer metastasis via the lymphatic versus the blood vessels. Clin Exp Metastasis. 2022 Apr; 39: 159–79.
31. Lin H, Zhao Z, Ma Y, Shi X, Guo L, Wang J, et al. The prognostic value of tumor macroscopic morphology in colorectal cancer. Transl Oncol. 2026; 63: 102607.
32. Parfitt JR, Driman DK. The total mesorectal excision specimen for rectal cancer: a review of its pathological assessment. J Clin Pathol. 2007 Aug; 60(8): 849–55.
33. Schillaci O, Scimeca M, Toschi N, Bonfiglio R, Urbano N, Bonanno E. Combining diagnostic imaging and pathology for improving diagnosis and prognosis of cancer. Contrast Media Mol Imaging. 2019; 2019: 9429761.
34. Jain D, Chopp WV, Graham RP. Protocol for the examination of excisional biopsy or polypectomy specimens from patients with primary carcinoma of the colon and rectum. Version 4.3.0.0. Northfield (IL): College of American Pathologists; 2023 Dec [cited 2024 May 22].
35. Gress DM, Edge SB, Greene FL, Washington MK, Asare EA, Brierley JD, et al. Principles of cancer staging. In: AJCC cancer staging manual. 8th ed. New York: Springer; 2017. p. 3–30.

36. Horch RE, Kesting MR, Kersting S, Fichtner-Feigl S, Arkudas A. Editorial: interdisciplinary surgical strategies for complex tumor defects in modern oncology. Front Oncol. 2023; 13: 1146719. doi:10.3389/fonc.2023.1146719.

37. Ghosh SK. Evolution of illustrations in anatomy: a study from the classical period in Europe to modern times. Anat Sci Educ. 2015 Mar-Apr; 8(2): 175–88.

38. Almagro J, Messal HA, Zaw Thin M, van Rheenen J, Behrens A. Tissue clearing to examine tumour complexity in three dimensions. Nat Rev Cancer. 2021 Nov; 21(11): 718–30.

39. Xu X, Su J, Zhu R, Li K, Zhao X, Fan J, Mao F. From morphology to single-cell molecules: high-resolution 3D histology in biomedicine. Mol Cancer. 2025; 24(1): 63.

40. Zhou H, Zhou F, Zhao C, Xu Y, Luo L, Chen H. Multimodal data integration for precision oncology: challenges and future directions. arXiv. 2024; arXiv:2406.19611.