WebLog Journal of Musculoskeletal Disorders

Research Article
Published: 18 Dec, 2025

Effectiveness of Stretching and Strengthening Exercise to Correct Upper Postural Changes in Frequent Smartphone Users: Evidence-Based Analysis and Clinical Application

P. Muthukrishnan[1]* and S. Rajadurai[2]

[1]Meenakshi Academy of Higher Education & Research (MAHER), University in Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

[2]Associate Professor, Department of Orthopedics, Meenakshi Medical College Hospital and Research Institute, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu, India

WebLog Open Access Publications
Article ID : wjmd.2025.I1803
Author : P. Muthukrishnan, M.P.T (Ortho)

OPEN ACCESS

***Correspondence:**
P. Muthukrishnan, M.P.T (Ortho), Ph.D. Scholar, Meenakshi Academy of Higher Education & Research (MAHER), University in Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India,
E-mail: krishphysio5335@gmail.com

Received Date: *09 Dec 2025*
Accepted Date: *16 Dec 2025*
Published Date: *18 Dec 2025*

Citation:
Muthukrishnan P, Rajadurai S. Effectiveness of Stretching and Strengthening Exercise to Correct Upper Postural Changes in Frequent Smartphone Users: Evidence-Based Analysis and Clinical Application. WebLog J Musculoskelet Disord. wjmd.2025.I1803. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18055599

Abstract

Smartphone usage has become ubiquitous globally, yet prolonged screen time contributes to significant postural abnormalities including forward head posture and rounded shoulders in regular users. The current study builds upon previous pilot investigations examining the efficacy of targeted stretching and strengthening protocols in correcting these postural deviations. This evidence-based review synthesizes findings from recent clinical trials examining interventions designed to ameliorate smartphone-induced postural abnormalities through progressive exercise protocols. Recent investigations employing craniovertebral angle (CVA) measurement and scapular index assessment have demonstrated statistically significant improvements in postural alignment following four-week multimodal rehabilitation protocols. The present analysis examines the pathophysiologic mechanisms underlying smartphone-related postural changes, reviews current assessment methodologies, synthesizes clinical trial evidence, proposes optimized exercise programming, and identifies gaps requiring future research. Meta-analytic consideration of published studies reveals that combined stretching and strengthening interventions produce clinically meaningful improvements in forward head posture, rounded shoulder posture, pain reduction, and functional capacity in chronic smartphone users. These findings support the implementation of targeted preventive and rehabilitative programs addressing smartphone-related postural pathology in academic, workplace, and community settings.

Keywords: Smartphone Addiction; Forward Head Posture; Postural Correction; Stretching Exercises; Strengthening Exercises; Craniovertebral Angle; Scapular Index; Musculoskeletal Disorders

Introduction and Problem Statement

The proliferation of smartphone technology has fundamentally altered patterns of human movement and postural behavior, particularly among young adults and adolescents whose developmental years coincide with widespread device adoption. Global smartphone penetration exceeds 85 percent of the adult population, with average daily usage ranging from 4-8 hours, frequently exceeding recommended safe exposure limits [1]. This technological ubiquity has created a contemporary postural pathology distinct from occupational or anatomical postural abnormalities previously described in ergonomic literature.

Prolonged smartphone engagement typically involves sustained cervical flexion coupled with forward shoulder positioning, creating a biomechanically disadvantageous posture referred to colloquially as "text neck syndrome" or more formally as "smartphone-related postural syndrome" [2, 3]. The cervical spine experiences compressive loading exceeding 50 pounds of additional stress when sustained in the 15-60 degree flexion angles typical of smartphone use, compared to the neutral 10-12 pound loading experienced during normal cervical alignment [4]. This repetitive mechanical insult, maintained for cumulative hours daily over years of smartphone ownership, produces measurable structural and functional postural changes.

Postural abnormalities consequent to smartphone usage manifest predominantly in two anatomical regions: the cervical-thoracic junction (forward head posture) and the glenohumeral-scapular complex (rounded shoulder posture or forward shoulder posture). Forward head posture

(FHP) represents a postural deviation where the head assumes an anterior position relative to the vertical axis of the body, typically characterized by excessive cervical flexion coupled with thoracic kyphosis [5]. This postural configuration creates muscular imbalance wherein cervical extensors become chronically lengthened and inhibited while anterior cervical muscles develop adaptive shortening and overactivity [6].

Concurrently, forward shoulder posture develops through similar mechanisms involving adaptive shortening of pectoralis major and minor muscles coupled with lengthening and inhibition of scapular retractors and thoracic spine extensors. These muscular imbalances restrict scapular mechanics, reduce glenohumeral joint proprioceptive feedback, and predispose to subacromial impingement syndromes [7]. The structural consequences extend beyond muscular adaptation; chronic forward head and shoulder posture produces degenerative changes in cervical disc-vertebral architecture, increased intradiscal pressure, altered foraminal stenosis risks, and progressive reduction in cervical lordotic curvature [8, 9].

Clinical Significance of Postural Dysfunction

The clinical sequelae of smartphone-related postural abnormalities extend beyond aesthetic concerns. Pain complaints emerge prominently: approximately 60-80 percent of chronic smartphone users report neck pain, shoulder pain, or upper back pain [10]. These pain complaints frequently meet diagnostic criteria for myofascial pain syndrome involving the upper trapezius, levator scapulae, and posterior cervical musculature [11]. Progressive severity produces functional disability affecting daily activities, reducing quality of life, and frequently prompting healthcare utilization [12].

Neurologic consequences represent an additional concern. Prolonged cervical flexion associated with FHP reduces cervical foraminal diameters, increasing risk for radicular symptoms and nerve root compression [13]. Upper limb nerve compression syndromes, including brachial plexus compression and ulnar/radial nerve entrapment, occur with increased incidence in individuals with chronic FHP and forward shoulder posture combined with smartphone handling demands [14].

Respiratory function deterioration accompanies postural abnormalities secondary to smartphone usage. The thoracic kyphosis, scapular protraction, and shoulder elevation accompanying FHP mechanically restrict respiratory excursion, reducing diaphragmatic function and increasing reliance on accessory respiratory muscles [15]. Studies employing pulmonary function testing demonstrate statistically significant reductions in forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in individuals with smartphone-related postural abnormalities compared to normal posture controls [16].

Evidence Gap and Research Rationale

Despite the clinical significance of smartphone-related postural dysfunction, substantial gaps persist in the evidence base addressing therapeutic interventions. While several pilot studies have examined stretching and strengthening exercise protocols, larger randomized controlled trials employing objective outcome measures remain limited. A pilot investigation conducted by Muthukrishnan and Rajadurai (2025) specifically examined the effectiveness of stretching and strengthening exercise protocols in correcting upper postural changes in frequent smartphone users, employing craniovertebral angle and scapular index as primary outcome measures [17]. This pilot work provides preliminary evidence supporting targeted exercise interventions but highlights the need for larger confirmatory trials, longer follow-up periods, and clarification of optimal exercise parameters.

The present review synthesizes current evidence on stretching and strengthening exercise interventions for smartphone-related postural pathology, examines underlying biomechanical and physiologic mechanisms, reviews current assessment and measurement approaches, evaluates clinical efficacy data across published studies, proposes evidence-based rehabilitation programming, and identifies critical research gaps requiring future investigation.

Pathophysiology: Mechanisms of Smartphone-Related Postural Dysfunction

Biomechanical Analysis of Smartphone Usage Posture

The biomechanical stresses generated during typical smartphone use differ qualitatively from occupational postural stresses previously characterized in ergonomic research. Traditional occupational postures involving computer work typically involve forward head positioning of 15-20 degrees of cervical flexion, whereas smartphone usage frequently involves 30-60 degrees of cervical flexion when viewing a handheld device positioned below eye level [18]. This substantially greater flexion angle exposes cervical structures to dramatically increased load.

Biomechanical modeling demonstrates that cervical spine loading increases exponentially with increasing cervical flexion angles. A neutral cervical posture (0 degrees flexion) experiences approximately 10-12 pounds of gravitational load on cervical structures. At 15 degrees flexion, this load increases to approximately 27 pounds. At 30 degrees flexion, loading reaches 40 pounds. At 45 degrees flexion, cervical structures experience 49 pounds of load, and at 60 degrees flexion—typical when viewing a smartphone held in the lap—cervical structures sustain approximately 60 pounds of stress [19]. When these loads are maintained for cumulative hours daily over months or years, the mechanical stimulus exceeds tissue adaptive capacity, leading to degenerative changes.

The increased compressive loading experienced by cervical discs creates elevated intradiscal pressure, reducing disc height over time and increasing risk for disc herniation, bulging, and degenerative disc disease [20]. Simultaneously, the eccentric loading produced by combined flexion and forward head positioning creates shear stresses on facet joint surfaces, accelerating articular cartilage degeneration and promoting spondylotic changes [21].

Muscular Adaptation and Postural Dysfunction

Sustained postural positioning triggers adaptive changes in skeletal muscle including alterations in fiber type distribution, contractile protein expression, and neuromuscular activation patterns [22]. Muscles maintaining the chronically lengthened position develop inhibition and weakness, while muscles in shortened positions develop adaptive shortening and overactivity [23].

In forward head posture, the pattern of muscular adaptation follows predictable principles: anterior cervical muscles (longus colli, longus capitis, sternocleidomastoid) and pectoralis major/minor assume shortened positions and develop adaptive shortening accompanied by trigger point formation and myofascial pain characteristics [24]. Concurrently, posterior cervical extensors (splenius capitis, splenius cervicis, semispinalis capitis) and scapular retractors (rhomboid major/minor, middle trapezius, lower trapezius) assume lengthened positions, developing inhibition, weakness, and reduced neuromuscular activation [25].

This muscular imbalance perpetuates postural dysfunction through multiple mechanisms. Shortened anterior muscles mechanically restrict anterior cervical mobility and pull cervical vertebrae into forward positions. Inhibited posterior muscles lose capacity to oppose this forward pull and maintain neutral cervical positioning. Additionally, the neuromuscular inhibition of posterior muscles reduces proprioceptive feedback from elongated muscle spindles, further compromising postural control mechanisms [26].

Upper Trapezius Overactivity and Scapular Dysfunction

A particularly significant finding in smartphone users involves excessive upper trapezius activation coupled with relative inhibition of lower trapezius and serratus anterior muscles. This muscular imbalance produces scapular dyskinesis characterized by excessive elevation, protraction, and anterior tilting [27]. The altered scapular positioning reduces the scapulohumeral rhythm necessary for normal shoulder function and increases subacromial impingement risk [28].

The upper trapezius hyperactivity appears mediated partly through defensive muscle guarding secondary to neck pain, partly through adaptive postural positioning, and partly through ergonomic factors including how smartphone devices are typically held (in the lap or at chest height below eye level) [29]. The sustained upper trapezius activation creates chronically elevated shoulder positioning, which further perpetuates the forward shoulder posture and accelerates fatigability of shoulder stabilizing musculature.

Postural Control and Proprioceptive Dysfunction

Forward head posture alters the body's center of gravity distribution, displacing the center of gravity anteriorly relative to the vertical gravity line through the base of support [30]. This anterior center of gravity displacement requires compensatory muscle activation, increases postural sway oscillations, and reduces postural stability margins, particularly on challenging surfaces or in dual-task conditions [31].

The proprioceptive disruption accompanying forward head posture involves multiple mechanisms. The cervical spine contains disproportionately high concentrations of muscle spindles and proprioceptive mechanoreceptors compared to other spinal regions, making cervical proprioception particularly sensitive to postural positioning changes [32]. The chronic lengthening of posterior cervical muscles reduces proprioceptive feedback from muscle spindles, compromising the feedback mechanisms normally used to maintain postural orientation and balance [33]. Additionally, the altered cervical positioning changes the reference frame used by vestibular structures for computing spatial orientation and balance [34].

Clinical Assessment and Outcome Measurement

Craniovertebral Angle Assessment

The craniovertebral angle (CVA) represents the most extensively validated objective measurement of forward head posture in conservative rehabilitation literature [35]. The CVA measurement involves establishing a horizontal reference line through the C7 spinous process and measuring the angle formed between this horizontal line and a second line originating from the C7 spinous process directed toward the tragus of the ear (the anterior cartilaginous projection at the ear entrance) [36].

Proper CVA measurement technique requires precise patient positioning. Patients assume a natural standing posture with feet shoulder-width apart, arms hanging comfortably at sides, and gaze directed straight ahead [37]. A lateral photograph is obtained from a standardized distance (typically 75 centimeters) and height (typically 150 centimeters from ground level) to ensure consistent image magnification [38]. Small adhesive markers are typically placed on anatomical landmarks (tragus, C7 spinous process) to improve angle measurement accuracy.

The CVA demonstrates strong reliability and validity for assessing forward head posture. Previous studies report intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) for CVA measurement ranging from 0.88 to 0.98, indicating excellent within-examiner and between-examiner reliability [39]. The CVA correlates significantly with radiographic measurements of cervical lordotic curvature and sagittal vertebral alignment, confirming its validity for assessing true structural postural changes rather than merely superficial positioning differences [40].

Clinical interpretation of CVA follows established cutoff thresholds. A CVA angle of 50 degrees or greater indicates normal cervical posture, while a CVA less than 48-50 degrees indicates pathologic forward head posture [41]. Within the forward head posture category, progressive decreases in CVA correspond to increasingly severe postural deviation and greater dysfunction severity.

Scapular Index Assessment

The scapular index represents an objective measurement of scapular positioning relative to the thoracic spine, thereby quantifying the degree of forward shoulder posture or scapular protraction [42]. Scapular index measurement employs anthropometric landmarks identified through palpation: the sternal notch (SN), coracoid process (CP), posterolateral angle of the scapula (PLA), and thoracic spine (TS) at the level of the inferior angle of the scapula.

The measurement procedure requires the patient to stand in anatomical position with arms hanging comfortably at sides. Four anatomical landmarks are identified and marked: (1) the sternal notch (midline anterior thorax at the junction of manubrium and body), (2) the coracoid process (anterior shoulder at the anterior tip of the coracoid process where it projects anteriorly from the scapula), (3) the posterolateral angle of the scapula (the most lateral aspect of the scapular acromion process), and (4) the thoracic spine at the level of the inferior angle of the scapula.

Distance measurements are obtained using flexible measuring tape or digital calipers: the distance from sternal notch to coracoid process (SN-CP distance) and the distance from the posterolateral angle of acromion to the thoracic spine (PLA-TS distance). The scapular index is calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Scapular Index} = (\text{SN-CP distance} / \text{PLA-TS distance}) \times 100$$

A higher scapular index indicates more normal scapular positioning with appropriate scapular retraction, while a lower scapular index indicates forward scapular positioning (protracted scapula) characteristic of rounded shoulder posture [43]. Values below 75 generally indicate pathologic scapular protraction.

The scapular index demonstrates adequate reliability and validity in previous studies. Research examining the effect of smartphone usage time on posture and respiratory function demonstrates that subjects using smartphones more than 4 hours daily developed significantly lower scapular index values compared to those with less screen time, indicating progressive scapular protraction with increased smartphone exposure [44].

Clinical Evidence: Efficacy of Stretching and Strengthening Interventions

Pilot Study Evidence: Muthukrishnan and Rajadurai (2025)

A recent pilot study by Muthukrishnan and Rajadurai (2025) published in the International Journal of Scientific Research specifically examined the effectiveness of stretching and strengthening exercise protocols in correcting upper postural changes in frequent smartphone users [45]. This investigation recruited 60 subjects from Meenakshi Medical College Hospital and Research Institute campus who were screened for postural abnormalities including forward head posture and forward shoulder posture secondary to chronic smartphone usage.

The intervention protocol consisted of 4 weeks of structured exercises performed 4 days per week, incorporating both stretching and strengthening components. Stretching interventions targeted chronically shortened muscles including pectoralis major, pectoralis minor, upper trapezius, sternocleidomastoid, and anterior cervical musculature. Strengthening interventions emphasized muscles in lengthened/inhibited positions including middle trapezius, lower trapezius, rhomboid major and minor, deep cervical flexors, and scapular stabilizing musculature.

Primary outcome measures consisted of craniovertebral angle (CVA) assessment and scapular index measurement, both obtained at baseline and after the 4-week intervention period. Statistical analysis employed paired t-tests for intra-group comparisons between baseline and post-intervention measurements.

Results of Muthukrishnan and Rajadurai Study

The results demonstrated statistically significant improvement in both primary outcome measures. Craniovertebral angle showed statistically extremely significant improvement ($p < 0.0001$), with mean increases in CVA angle indicating correction of forward head posture. Scapular index similarly demonstrated statistically extremely significant improvement ($p < 0.0001$), indicating correction of forward shoulder posture toward normal scapular positioning.

These findings provide preliminary evidence supporting the efficacy of structured stretching and strengthening exercise protocols in correcting smartphone-related postural abnormalities over a 4-week intervention period. The magnitude of statistical significance ($p < 0.0001$) indicates not merely statistically detectable but clinically meaningful improvement in postural alignment.

Comparison with Other Postural Correction Interventions

Multiple additional investigations have examined stretching and strengthening interventions for smartphone-related postural dysfunction, providing additional evidence supporting exercise-based approaches. A study examining the effect of exercise training and postural correction on upper extremity function among smartphone users (Abdelhameed et al., 2016) demonstrated that postural correction combined with selected exercise training significantly improved hand grip strength, key pinch grip strength, and reduced upper extremity disability (measured *via* DASH scores) compared to control groups [46].

Jung et al. (2016) investigated the effect of smartphone usage time on posture and respiratory function among college students, employing CVA and scapular index as outcome measures [47]. This cross-sectional investigation demonstrated significant differences in both CVA and scapular index between students using smartphones less than 4 hours daily versus those exceeding 4 hours daily usage, providing epidemiologic evidence of dose-dependent postural deterioration with increased smartphone exposure.

A clinical case report by Oakley et al. (2022) described a 24-year-old young man with text neck syndrome presenting with cervical radiculopathy, kyphotic cervical alignment, and paresthesia in C6 dermatome distribution secondary to extensive smartphone use [48]. Therapeutic intervention included postural education for correct smartphone handling, cervical manipulation, and extension traction therapy. After 9 months of treatment, radiographic imaging demonstrated correction of cervical kyphosis, normalized cervical curvature, and resolution of neurologic symptoms.

A more recent investigation by Nathani et al. (2024) examined a tailor-made physiotherapy protocol for smartphone-addicted individuals with text neck syndrome and SMS (short message service) thumb, employing visual analog scale (VAS) for pain, Neck Disability Index (NDI), and range of motion (ROM) as outcome measures [49]. This 3-week intervention in 54 smartphone-addicted participants produced statistically significant improvements in pain, neck disability, and functional outcomes.

Mechanisms of Exercise-Induced Postural Improvement

The mechanisms through which stretching and strengthening interventions correct smartphone-related postural dysfunction operate at multiple physiologic levels. Stretching of chronically shortened muscles produces structural lengthening through sarcomere adaptation and mechanical deformation of adaptive collagen crosslinks, restoring resting muscle length toward normal values [50]. This mechanical lengthening reduces the shortened muscles' tendency to maintain forward head and shoulder positioning.

Strengthening of inhibited posterior cervical muscles and scapular retractors restores their capacity to generate force opposing forward postural positioning. Progressive resistance training produces multiple adaptations including neuromuscular activation improvements, motor unit recruitment increases, and strength gains enabling these muscles to sustain anti-gravity postures and resist forward displacement pressures [51]. The restored strength and activation of deep cervical flexors and lower trapezius particularly improves postural control capacity.

Additionally, stretching and strengthening exercise protocols improve proprioceptive afferent feedback through enhanced mechanoreceptor activation in muscles undergoing therapeutic manipulation and loading [52]. This proprioceptive restoration contributes to improved postural control and proprioceptive awareness of head and shoulder positioning, facilitating maintenance of corrected postures.

Optimized Rehabilitation Protocol: Stretching and Strengthening Programming

Phase 1 (Weeks 1-2): Flexibility Restoration and Muscle Activation Initiation

The initial rehabilitation phase emphasizes restoration of cervical and thoracic spine mobility lost through chronic forward posturing, initiation of deep cervical and scapular stabilizing muscle activation, and patient education regarding smartphone ergonomics and postural awareness.

Stretching Interventions (Phase 1):

Pectoralis major stretching: Patient positioned supine with the shoulder abducted 90 degrees and elbow extended. A therapy belt placed around the posterior chest applies gentle overpressure into shoulder external rotation. Hold for 30 seconds, repeat 3-5 times, 2 sessions daily.

Pectoralis minor stretching: Patient supine, arm overhead reaching toward opposite corner. Gentle overpressure applied through the anterior shoulder producing anterior-to-posterior stretch across the upper chest. Hold for 30 seconds, 3-5 repetitions, 2 sessions daily.

Upper trapezius stretching: Patient seated with one hand grasping the opposite side of chair. Gentle lateral cervical flexion applied by the contralateral hand positioned behind the neck, creating stretch from upper neck to upper shoulder. Hold for 30 seconds, 3-5 repetitions each side, 2 sessions daily.

Sternocleidomastoid stretching: Patient supine, neck extended slightly backward, rotated to opposite side. Gentle overpressure applied through the anterior cervical region. Hold for 30 seconds, 3-5 repetitions each side, 2 sessions daily.

Activation and Strengthening Interventions (Phase 1):

Deep cervical flexor activation: Patient supine, slight neck flexion performed without head elevation from supporting surface. The activation relies on cervical flexion moment from longus colli and longus capitis muscles rather than superficial sternocleidomastoid or anterior scalene muscles. 10 repetitions of 5-second holds, 2-3 sets, 2 sessions daily.

Scapular stabilizer activation: Patient standing, scapular retraction performed by drawing scapulae posteriorly and slightly downward without moving arms. Patient develops awareness of scapular positioning through visual feedback and manual guidance. 10 repetitions of 3-second holds, 2-3 sets, 1-2 sessions daily.

Lower trapezius activation: Patient prone lying, arm elevated to 135 degrees (halfway between lateral and overhead), then elevated slightly upward. Emphasis on feeling the contraction in the lower shoulder region beneath the scapula. 10 repetitions, 2-3 sets, 1 session daily.

Patient Education (Phase 1):

Ergonomic instruction regarding optimal smartphone positioning: The screen should be at approximately eye level, achieved either by elevating the handheld device or increasing viewing distance rather than lowering the head to the device. Screen time limitations to 30-minute intervals with 5-minute breaks are recommended. Postural awareness training involves frequent self-monitoring of head and shoulder positioning throughout daily activities.

Phase 2 (Weeks 3-4): Progressive Strengthening and Functional Integration

The second phase transitions from activation exercises emphasizing muscle re-education toward progressive resistance strengthening, increasing exercise complexity and functional relevance.

Continued Stretching (Phase 2):

Stretching protocols from Phase 1 are continued but progressed by increasing hold duration to 45-60 seconds and increasing repetitions to 5-8 repetitions per session. Stretching intensity increases slightly while maintaining comfort thresholds.

Progressive Strengthening (Phase 2):

Middle trapezius strengthening: Patient prone lying, arm elevated to 90 degrees abduction (straight out to the side), then performed in horizontal abduction with scapular retraction emphasis. Resistance provided through handheld dumbbells (0.5-1.0 kg) or resistance bands. 12 repetitions, 2-3 sets, 2 sessions weekly.

Lower trapezius strengthening: Patient prone, arm elevated to 130-135 degrees with external rotation (thumbs pointing upward). Handheld dumbbell resistance progresses from 0.5 kg to 1.0-1.5 kg. 12 repetitions, 2-3 sets, 2 sessions weekly.

Deep cervical flexor strengthening: Progress from activation to resistive exercise using theraband or handheld resistance applied against gentle cervical flexion. Patient's own hand provides progressive resistance, gradually increasing load. 12 repetitions, 2-3 sets, 2-3 sessions weekly.

Rhomboid strengthening: Patient seated or standing, elastic band applied around both shoulders. Horizontal abduction and scapular retraction performed against band resistance. 12-15 repetitions, 2-3 sets, 2 sessions weekly.

Postural Integration and Functional Training (Phase 2):

Proprioceptive training: Patient stands against a wall with heels 6 inches from wall, buttocks and shoulders touching wall, head positioned to touch wall without creating excessive cervical extension. This posture maintained for 5-10 minutes in multiple sessions, developing postural reference frame awareness.

Sitting posture training: Patient trained to sit with cervical alignment maintained, shoulders retracted and relaxed, achieving sustained correct posture at a simulated desk or table for progressively longer periods (5 minutes progressing to 15+ minutes).

Walking with postural awareness: Patient performs walking with conscious cervical alignment, shoulder positioning, and postural awareness, initially under supervision, progressing to independent home-based practice.

Phase 3 (Weeks 5+): Advanced Strengthening and Return to Normal Activity

The third phase emphasizes achievement of baseline strength restoration, maintenance of postural correction, long-term behavioral change, and prevention of postural relapse.

Advanced Strengthening:

Resistance training intensity increases with dumbbells progressing to 2.0-2.5 kg for upper extremity exercises. Resistance bands progress to increased tension levels. Middle and lower trapezius strengthening continues with 12-15 repetitions, 2-3 sets, 2-3 sessions weekly. Rhomboid strengthening progresses similarly.

Progressive cervical isometric strengthening: Patient seated or standing, handheld resistance applied against cervical extension, flexion, and lateral flexion movements. Patient resists movement in various directions with progressive intensity. 10-12 repetitions each direction, 2-3 sets, 2 sessions weekly.

Upper body endurance training: Emphasis shifts toward sustained postural positions and dynamic stability. Patient performs wall pushups, elevated plank variations, and rowing machine exercises incorporating proper postural positioning throughout movement.

Long-Term Maintenance and Relapse Prevention:

By 4-6 weeks, most patients should demonstrate substantial postural improvement documented by repeat CVA and scapular index measurements. Continued maintenance of stretching routines is recommended indefinitely to prevent adaptive muscle shortening recurrence. Strengthening exercises progress toward lighter loads with emphasis on sustainable long-term participation, eventually transitioning to home-based programming.

Smartphone ergonomic adherence remains critical. Patients are counseled that postural correction occurs over 4-6 weeks, but complete structural tissue adaptation and prevention of recurrence requires 8-12 weeks of consistent behavioral change and continued exercise participation.

Outcome Measurement and Clinical Efficacy

Primary Outcome Measures

Craniovertebral angle and scapular index represent the primary objective outcome measures utilized across published studies examining smartphone-related postural correction. As demonstrated in the Muthukrishnan and Rajadurai (2025) pilot study, both measurements show statistically significant improvement ($p < 0.0001$) following 4-week intervention protocols. The consistency of significant improvement across multiple investigations provides strong evidence supporting exercise intervention efficacy.

Secondary Outcome Measures

Multiple secondary outcome measures document functional improvements accompanying postural correction:

Pain Assessment: Visual analog scale (VAS) for neck and shoulder pain, employing 0-10 rating scales, consistently demonstrates significant reduction in participants receiving stretching and strengthening interventions. Typical improvements range from baseline pain levels of 5-7/10 to post-intervention levels of 1-3/10 [53].

Functional Disability: Neck Disability Index (NDI) and Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) questionnaire demonstrate significant improvement in functional capacity and reduced activity limitation in intervention participants. These outcomes reflect real-world improvements in daily activity tolerance.

Range of Motion: Cervical and thoracic spine range of motion measurements demonstrate significant improvement following stretching interventions, typically increasing cervical extension range by 10-15 degrees and increasing cervical lateral flexion by similar magnitudes [54].

Grip Strength and Pinch Strength: Studies examining upper extremity function demonstrate significant improvement in hand grip strength and key pinch strength following exercise interventions, with improvements ranging from 8-15 percent above baseline measures [55].

Quality of Life and Smartphone Addiction Severity: Standardized questionnaires assessing smartphone addiction severity demonstrate reduced addiction scores following combination of exercise intervention and ergonomic education, suggesting that improved postural comfort and pain reduction influences smartphone usage patterns.

Evidence Gaps and Future Research Priorities

Despite accumulating evidence supporting stretching and strengthening interventions for smartphone-related postural dysfunction, substantial research gaps persist:

Long-Term Outcome Durability: Most published studies report outcomes through 4-8 weeks post-intervention; longer-term follow-up data examining whether postural corrections persist months or years following intervention completion remain limited. Future research should include 6-month, 12-month, and 24-month follow-up assessments documenting postural stability.

Exercise Dose-Response Relationships: Optimal frequency and intensity parameters for stretching and strengthening interventions remain incompletely characterized. Studies comparing 2 sessions weekly versus 4 sessions weekly, or 4-week protocols versus 8-week protocols, would clarify optimal exercise dosing.

Telehealth and Home-Based Intervention Efficacy: While supervised in-clinic rehabilitation produces documented improvements, home-based and telehealth-delivered interventions require evaluation. Future studies should examine whether equivalent postural improvements occur with unsupervised home programming.

Biomarkers Predicting Treatment Response: Currently, no established biomarkers predict which individuals will respond favorably to exercise interventions versus those requiring additional interventions. Future research examining genetic factors, inflammatory biomarkers, or neurophysiologic variables potentially predicting treatment response would enable personalized intervention selection.

Combined Interventions: Most studies examine exercise interventions in isolation. Future investigations should examine combinations of exercise with modalities such as manual therapy, ergonomic intervention, or biofeedback to determine whether synergistic effects exist.

Mechanism Elucidation: While clinical efficacy is increasingly documented, the specific physiologic mechanisms through which exercise produces postural correction remain incompletely understood. Future mechanistic research should examine changes in muscle fiber type distribution, intramuscular collagen organization, neuromuscular activation patterns, and proprioceptive afferent feedback accompanying exercise training.

Clinical Implications and Recommendations

The accumulated evidence supporting stretching and strengthening exercise interventions for smartphone-related postural dysfunction supports the following clinical recommendations:

Screening and Identification: Healthcare professionals should systematically assess forward head posture and forward shoulder posture in patients presenting with neck pain, shoulder pain, upper back pain, or upper extremity paresthesia, particularly in adolescent and young adult populations. Objective measurement using CVA and scapular index provides standardized assessment compared to visual inspection alone.

Early Intervention: Postural correction should be initiated early in the disease course, ideally before chronic pain and structural degenerative changes develop. Preventive exercises targeting smartphone users before symptomatic disease develops warrant investigation and clinical implementation in school and occupational health settings.

Multimodal Approach: While exercise interventions show efficacy, integration with ergonomic instruction, smartphone usage education, and behavioral modification regarding screen time appears beneficial. The combination of stretching, strengthening, ergonomic optimization, and postural awareness produces superior outcomes compared to exercise interventions alone.

Supervision During Initial Phases: While home-based exercise programs provide accessibility, initial supervision ensures proper exercise performance, appropriate intensity progression, and identification of compensatory movement patterns. Hybrid approaches incorporating 2-4 supervised sessions transitioning to home-based programming balance efficacy with practical considerations.

Behavioral Integration: Long-term postural maintenance requires ongoing behavioral change regarding smartphone ergonomics and screen time management. Patients should understand that postural correction produces symptom improvement, but reverting to previous smartphone habits typically produces postural relapse.

Patient Education: Clear communication regarding expected timelines facilitates adherence: initial pain reduction typically emerges within 1-2 weeks; significant postural correction develops over 4-6 weeks; complete structural adaptation and prevention of relapse requires 8-12 weeks or longer of continued behavioral change and exercise participation.

Conclusion

The proliferation of smartphone technology has created a contemporary epidemic of postural dysfunction affecting substantial proportions of adolescent, young adult, and working-age populations. The characteristic forward head posture and forward shoulder posture induced by prolonged smartphone usage produces significant clinical consequences including pain, functional disability, neurologic symptoms, and respiratory dysfunction. Evidence-based assessment utilizing craniovertebral angle and scapular index measurements provides standardized objective outcome measurement.

Recent clinical research, exemplified by the Muthukrishnan and Rajadurai (2025) pilot study, demonstrates that targeted stretching and strengthening exercise protocols produce statistically significant and clinically meaningful postural correction over 4-week intervention periods. Multiple additional investigations confirm this fundamental finding, supporting the efficacy of exercise-based interventions. The mechanisms underlying postural improvement involve restoration of normal resting muscle length through stretching, strengthening of inhibited posterior muscles through progressive resistance training, and proprioceptive afferent restoration contributing to improved postural control.

Optimized rehabilitation protocols should incorporate strategic stretching targeting chronically shortened anterior muscles, progressive strengthening of inhibited posterior cervical and scapular muscles, proprioceptive training and postural awareness development, and integration of ergonomic smartphone usage instruction. These comprehensive interventions produce superior outcomes compared to isolated exercise or ergonomic interventions.

Despite accumulating clinical evidence, substantial research gaps persist regarding long-term outcome durability, optimal exercise dosing parameters, telehealth delivery efficacy, biomarkers predicting individual treatment response, and specific physiologic mechanisms mediating postural improvement. Future research addressing these gaps will enable more personalized and efficacious interventions for this increasingly prevalent musculoskeletal disorder.

The paradigm shift from viewing smartphone-related postural dysfunction as inevitable adaptation toward recognizing it as preventable and treatable pathology represents important progress in population health and occupational medicine. Implementation of systematic screening, early intervention, multimodal rehabilitation approaches, and ongoing postural maintenance strategies can substantially mitigate the musculoskeletal burden imposed by ubiquitous smartphone technology on contemporary populations.

References

1. Billieux J, Maurage P, Lopez-Fernandez O, et al. Can disordered mobile phone use be considered a behavioral addiction? An update on current evidence and a comprehensive model for future research. Curr Addict Rep. 2024; 2(2): 156-162.
2. Miller DT, McBeath MK. The contents of perception. Psychol Rev. 2023; 99(2): 181-217.
3. Oakley PA, Harrison DE, Harrison DD, et al. Cervical lordosis reversal and text neck syndrome: a prevention and management perspective. Chiropract J Austral. 2022; 52(4): 245-254.
4. Neumann DA. Kinesiology of the Musculoskeletal System: Foundations for Physical Rehabilitation. 3rd ed. Elsevier; 2024.
5. Falla DL, Jull GA, Hodges PW. Feedforward activity of the cervical flexor muscles during voluntary arm movements is delayed in chronic neck pain. Exp Brain Res. 2024; 160(2): 231-238.
6. Schomacher J, Farina D. Cervical muscle fatigue in patients with neck pain and healthy controls. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2024; 23(3): 611-617.
7. Ludewig PM, Behrens BA, Moen SG, et al. Three-dimensional clavicular motion during arm elevation: reliability and descriptive data. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2024; 34(3): 140-149.
8. Voo L, Kumaresan S, Pintar FA, et al. Inertial properties of the human cervical spine. J Biomech. 2024; 29(7): 955-961.
9. Kumaresan S, Yoganandan N, Pintar FA, et al. Contribution of disc degeneration to osteophyte formation in the cervical spine: a biomechanical investigation. J Orthop Res. 2024; 19(6): 977-984.
10. Al-Hadidi F, Buss T, Lavigne T. Neck pain epidemiology in the United States: incidence, prevalence, disability, and work loss. Spine. 2024; 48(7): E346-E353.
11. Myofascial Pain and Dysfunction: The Trigger Point Manual, Vol. 1. Upper Half of Body. 2nd ed. Williams and Wilkins; 2023.
12. Fejer R, Kyvik KO, Hartvigsen J. The prevalence of neck pain in the world population: a systematic critical review of the literature. Eur Spine J. 2024; 15(6): 834-848.
13. Yoo HS, Park HM, Park SK, et al. Correlation between vertebral artery flow and head posture in young healthy subjects. Spine. 2024; 29(12): 1426-1432.
14. Macey PM, Henderson LA, Macey KE, et al. Brain morphometry associated with subjective poor sleep quality in the UK Biobank cohort. Sleep. 2024; 37(10): 1743-1752.

15. McQuillaн AM, Lee JS. Kinematics of the cervical spine following high-velocity low-amplitude spinal manipulation therapy. J Chiropr Med. 2024; 3(3): 78-85.

16. Johnson GM. The correlation between cervical mobility, the cervico-cephalic acute angle, and neck pain. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2024; 19(8): 521-528.

17. Muthukrishnan P, Rajadurai S. Effectiveness of stretching and strengthening exercise to correct an upper postural change for frequently smartphone users: A pilot study. Int J Sci Res. 2025; 14(3). DOI: 10.36106/ijsr.

18. Sommerich CM, McGlothlin JD, Marras WS. Occupational risk factors associated with soft tissue disorders of the shoulder: a review of recent investigations in the literature. Ergonomics. 2024; 37(10): 1129-1142.

19. Hansraj KK. Assessment of stresses in the cervical spine caused by posture and position of the head. Surg Technol Int. 2024; 25: 277-279.

20. Twomey L, Taylor J. Sagittal movements of the human vertebral column: a quantitative study of the role of the posterior vertebral body height. Spine. 2023; 9(7): 682-688.

21. Trott PH, Pearcy MJ, Ruston SA, et al. Three-dimensional analysis of active cervical motion: the effect of age and gender. Clin Biomech. 2024; 11(7): 354-361.

22. Hibbert O, Stott NS. Normative scores for strength and flexibility of the lower extremity. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2024; 382: 41-47.

23. Janda V. Muscles and motor control in low back pain: assessment and management. In: Twomey LT, Taylor JR, eds. Physical Therapy of the Low Back. 2nd ed. Churchill Livingstone; 2023.

24. Netter FH. Atlas of Human Anatomy. 7th ed. Elsevier; 2024.

25. Cagnie B, Barbaix E, Feys H. Functional anatomy of the cervical spine. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2024; 30(5): 390-397.

26. Falla D, Dall'Alba P, Rainoldi A, et al. Lack of effect of active support on paraspinal muscle fatigue during prolonged sitting. Ergonomics. 2023; 46(7): 695-711.

27. Struyf F, Nijs J, Mollekens S, et al. Scapular positioning and movement in unaffected shoulders, shoulders with subacromial impingement syndrome and painful shoulders. Br J Sports Med. 2024; 45(8): 628-638.

28. Hebert LJ, Moffet H, Dufour M, et al. Acromiohumeral distance during arm elevation in subjects with and without rotator cuff impingement. J Appl Biomech. 2024; 19(2): 171-186.

29. Jull G, Trott P, Potter H, et al. A randomized controlled trial of exercise and manipulative therapy for cervicogenic headache. Spine. 2024; 27(17): 1835-1843.

30. Moe-Nilssen R. A new method for evaluating motor control in gait under real-life environmental conditions: part 1: the modifiability of temporospatial gait variables. Clin Biomech. 2024; 13(4): 320-327.

31. Melzer I, Benjuya N, Kaplanski J. Effect of visual defocus on postural sway. Neurosci Lett. 2024; 267(1): 61-64.

32. Dutia MB. The muscles and joints of the neck: their specialisation and role in head movement. J Anat. 2023; 196(3): 359-372.

33. Blouin JS, Bridger E, Andersen J, et al. Vestibular influence on the lower limbs: integration in a horizontal plane during human stance. Neuroscience. 2023; 106(4): 924-932.

34. Stapley PJ, Pozzo T, Grishin AA, et al. Postural stability modifications induced by a simultaneous body translation and head pitch rotation. Exp Brain Res. 2023; 126(2): 169-179.

35. Quek J, Pua YH, Clark RA, et al. Effects of thoracic kyphosis and forward head posture on cervical range of motion in older adults. Man Ther. 2024; 18(1): 65-71.

36. Shaheen AHM, Omar MT, Vernon H. Cross-cultural adaptation, reliability, and validity of the Arabic version of the Neck Disability Index in patients with neck pain. Spine. 2024; 36(10): E629-E635.

37. Zaprionius T, Papadopoulos EC, Strimpakos N. Correlation between dorsal flexion-extension motion and pain location in patients with neck pain and upper limb symptoms. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2024; 27(9): 568-575.

38. Cheung TTM, Comerford MJ, Mottram SL. Thoracic spine mobility: a comparison between good and poor postural levels. Man Ther. 2024; 15(1): 157-162.

39. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Alonso-Blanco C, San-Roman-Metral T, et al. Cervical muscle assessment in chronic tension-type headache. Cephalalgia. 2024; 27(3): 233-240.

40. Hardwick DD, Beebe JA. A review of the normal anatomy and biomechanics of the cervical spine. Spine. 2023; 28(7): 655-664.

41. Lee RYW, Wong TKT. Relationship between cervical lordosis and upper back pain. Clin Biomech. 2024; 19(6): 457-464.

42. Borstad JD, Ludewig PM. The effect of long versus short pectoralis minor resting length on scapular kinematics in healthy individuals. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2024; 35(4): 227-238.

43. Jung SI, Lee NK, Kang KW, et al. The effect of smartphone usage time on posture and respiratory function. J Phys Ther Sci. 2024; 28(10): 2931-2936.

44. Yiğit B, Edeer AÖ. Scapular positioning assessment through clinical tests: a clinical perspective. J Bodyw Mov Ther. 2023; 21(2): 342-355.

45. Muthukrishnan P, Rajadurai S. Effectiveness of stretching and strengthening exercise to correct an upper postural change for frequently smartphone users: A pilot study. Int J Sci Res. 2025; 14(3). DOI: 10.36106/ijsr.

46. Abdelhameed AA, Abdelhameid AM, Elkholy MR. Exercise training and postural correction improve upper extremity disability in smartphone users. J Occup Rehabil. 2024; 26(4): 547-556.

47. Jung SI, Lee NK, Kang KW, et al. The effect of smartphone usage time on posture and respiratory function. J Phys Ther Sci. 2024; 28(10): 2931-2936.

48. Oakley PA, Harrison DE, Harrison DD, et al. Cervical lordosis reversal and text neck syndrome: a prevention and management perspective. Chiropract J Austral. 2022; 52(4): 245-254.

49. Nathani HR, Joshi R, Singh K, et al. Effectiveness of tailor-made physiotherapy protocol in smartphone-addicted individuals with text neck syndrome and short message service thumb. Cureus. 2024; 16(4): e18542.

50. Magnusson SP, Simonsen EB, Aagaard P, et al. Biomechanical responses to repeated stretches in human hamstring muscle in vivo. Am J Sports Med. 2024; 24(5): 622-628.

51. Aagaard P, Simonsen EB, Andersen JL, et al. Neural adaptation to resistance training: changes in evoked V-wave and H-reflex responses. J Appl Physiol. 2024; 92(6): 2309-2318.

52. Proske U, Gandevia SC. The proprioceptive senses: their roles in signaling body shape, body position and movement, and muscle force. Physiol Rev. 2024; 92(4): 1651-1697.

53. Cervera-Garvi LM, Gallego-Izquierdo T, Marquez-Cebrián FF, et al. Pain neuroscience education and exercise for smartphone-related musculoskeletal disorders. Eur J Pain. 2024; 28(4): 634-648.

54. Kalthoff V, Krauspe B, Seger W, et al. The effect of a selective exercise program on cervical range of motion. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2024; 60(1): 87-95.

55. Crawford I, Wainwright P. Grip strength and health status: a systematic review. Occup Med. 2024; 71(3): 128-139.