WebLog Journal of Neurology

Research Article
Published: 18 Dec, 2025

Next-Generation Neurological Physiotherapy: Deep Learning–Based Movement Profiling and Real-Time Personalized Rehabilitation Algorithms

P. Muthukrishnan[1] and S. Rajadurai[2]*

[1]Meenakshi Academy of Higher Education & Research (MAHER), University in Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

[2]Associate Professor, Department of Orthopedics, Meenakshi Medical College Hospital and Research Institute, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu, India

WebLog Open Access Publications
Article ID : wjn.2025.I1802
Author : P. Muthukrishnan, M.P.T (Ortho)

OPEN ACCESS

***Correspondence:**
P. Muthukrishnan, M.P.T (Ortho), Ph.D. Scholar, Meenakshi Academy of Higher Education & Research (MAHER), University in Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India,
E-mail: krishphysio5335@gmail.com*

Received Date: 09 Dec 2025
Accepted Date: 16 Dec 2025
Published Date: 18 Dec 2025

Citation:
Muthukrishnan P, Rajadurai S. Next-Generation Neurological Physiotherapy: Deep Learning–Based Movement Profiling and Real-Time Personalized Rehabilitation Algorithms. WebLog J Neurol. wjn.2025.I1802. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18055550

Abstract

Neurological physiotherapy is entering a pivotal phase where conventional protocol-driven care is increasingly misaligned with the complexity and heterogeneity of real-world disability. Patients with stroke, traumatic brain injury, spinal cord injury, Parkinsonian syndromes, and other central nervous system disorders present with highly individualized movement impairments that evolve over time. Yet most rehabilitation pathways remain anchored to coarse clinical scales and therapist observation, which—although essential—cannot fully capture millisecond-level timing, subtle compensations, or distributed whole-body coordination. Deep learning–based movement profiling and closed-loop personalized rehabilitation algorithms offer a credible route to next-generation practice. This article proposes a multimodal framework that combines wearable inertial sensors, pressure insoles, and depth cameras with spatiotemporal feature extraction and deep learning encoders to derive a stable, low-dimensional "movement signature" for each patient. These signatures drive real-time controllers that adapt task difficulty, assistance, feedback modality, and dosing during therapy, while explicitly keeping the physiotherapist in the loop as the clinical decision-maker. The paper outlines a pragmatic research protocol, including model architecture, data pipeline, physiotherapy intervention design, outcome measures, sample size estimation, and ethical safeguards. Particular emphasis is placed on interpretability, therapist trust, safety mechanisms, and equitable deployment in low-resource settings. Rather than framing algorithms as a replacement for human expertise, the proposed system is designed to extend a therapist's sensory bandwidth, standardize high-quality care, and create a continuously learning rehabilitation ecosystem. This concept paper provides a technically grounded yet clinically oriented roadmap that researchers can translate into multicentre trials and implementation studies.

Keywords: Neurological Physiotherapy; Deep Learning; Movement Profiling; Personalized Rehabilitation; Wearable Sensors; Closed-Loop Control; Digital Biomarkers; Precision Neurorehabilitation

Background and Rationale

Neurological physiotherapy sits at the intersection of biological complexity and human variability. Two people with superficially similar lesions—for example, middle cerebral artery stroke—may show profoundly different motor impairments, recovery trajectories, and responses to therapy. Traditional rehabilitation models acknowledge this variability at a narrative level but largely operationalize care through standardized pathways, dosage rules, and therapist experience. The resulting gap between what clinicians know in principle (that every brain and body is different) and what they can implement in practice (limited time, fragmented data, and noise in clinical measurement) is increasingly difficult to justify.

At the same time, sensing and computational technologies have matured. Wearable inertial measurement units (IMUs), pressure insoles, electromyography bands, and depth cameras can capture rich kinematic and kinetic information in both clinic and home environments. These data streams record gait cycles, postural transitions, reach-to-grasp patterns, and balance reactions at temporal and spatial resolutions impossible to track by eye. Deep learning architectures—convolutional networks, recurrent and attention-based models, and multimodal transformers—are well suited to such high-dimensional, sequential data. When trained responsibly, they can discover latent structure: not only whether a step is taken, but how, and with which compensatory strategies.

Yet much of neurological physiotherapy still relies on episodic observation, ordinal scales, and retrospective recall. This misalignment between available technology and everyday practice creates a compelling opportunity: to build systems that transform raw movement data into clinically meaningful "movement profiles" and to use those profiles in real time to individualize rehabilitation.

The vision explored in this paper is not automation for its own sake. Instead, the goal is a partnership model in which algorithms extend the therapist's capacity to see, quantify, and adapt, while professional judgement, empathy, and therapeutic alliance remain central. Deep learning–based movement profiling and real-time personalized rehabilitation algorithms are proposed as enabling technologies for genuinely precision neurorehabilitation.

Problem Statement and Research Gap

Despite decades of research and guideline development, several persistent problems continue to limit neurological physiotherapy outcomes:

- **Coarse measurement:** Widely used scales (for example, Fugl–Meyer, Berg Balance Scale, Functional Ambulation Category) are valuable but relatively insensitive to micro-changes in inter-joint coordination, timing, and compensatory patterns.

- **Limited temporal resolution:** Assessment is usually periodic (weekly or monthly), whereas recovery and maladaptation occur continuously.

- **Heterogeneous response to therapy:** Patients with similar baseline scores often diverge significantly in both rate and quality of recovery under the same protocol.

- **Lack of closed-loop adaptation:** Exercise difficulty and task selection are commonly adjusted session by session or even week by week, rather than second by second based on real-time performance.

Current AI applications in rehabilitation tend to focus on narrow tasks—fall detection, simple activity recognition, or offline prediction of outcomes—without tightly coupling movement understanding to immediate therapeutic decisions. There is a clear research gap for integrated systems that:

1. Build rich, individualized movement profiles from multimodal data rather than single sensors or single joints.

2. Translate these profiles into real-time adjustments of exercise parameters while the patient is performing the task.

3. Explicitly formalize how clinical judgement and algorithmic recommendations interact, rather than sidelining the therapist.

This paper addresses that gap by outlining a concrete research framework for "next-generation" neurological physiotherapy built around deep learning–based movement profiling and real-time personalized rehabilitation algorithms.

Aims and Objectives

Primary Aim

To design and evaluate a deep learning–enabled neurological physiotherapy system that generates individualized movement profiles and uses them to drive real-time personalized adaptation of rehabilitation exercises, while maintaining physiotherapist oversight.

Specific Objectives

1. To develop a multimodal data pipeline combining wearable IMUs, pressure insoles, and depth cameras to capture whole-body movement in neurological patients during task-specific training.

2. To train and validate deep learning models that compress high-dimensional movement data into stable latent "movement signatures" and clinically meaningful clusters (movement phenotypes).

3. To implement a closed-loop control algorithm that uses these movement signatures to adapt task parameters (difficulty, assistance, feedback) on a repetition-by-repetition basis.

4. To compare the proposed AI-augmented physiotherapy protocol against standard-of-care neurological physiotherapy on clinical and digital outcome measures.

5. To evaluate therapist trust, perceived usefulness, and workload when using the system in routine sessions.

Hypotheses

1. Patients receiving AI-augmented neurological physiotherapy will demonstrate greater improvements in validated clinical motor scales than those receiving standard physiotherapy over an equivalent dosage period.

2. The deep learning–derived movement signatures will show higher sensitivity to change over time than conventional ordinal scales, capturing improvements that are not yet detectable clinically.

3. The closed-loop adaptive algorithm will increase the proportion of repetitions performed within an individually defined "optimal challenge zone" compared with therapist-adjusted standard care.

4. Physiotherapists will rate the system as acceptable, trustworthy, and helpful for clinical decision-making, provided that they retain final control over exercise progression.

Methodology

Study Design

A prospective, parallel-group, assessor-blinded randomized controlled trial is proposed. Adults with subacute or chronic neurological impairments affecting gait and upper-limb function will be randomized to either:

- **Control group:** Standard task-specific neurological physiotherapy delivered according to current best-practice guidelines.

- **Intervention group:** Standard physiotherapy augmented by deep learning–based movement profiling and real-time personalized adaptation.

The trial will run for 8–12 weeks of structured therapy, with follow-up assessments at baseline, mid-intervention, post-intervention, and three months post-treatment.

Participants

Inclusion criteria might include:

- Age 18–80 years.

- Diagnosis of stroke, traumatic brain injury, spinal cord injury (incomplete), Parkinson's disease, or other non-progressive central neurological disorder affecting movement.

- Ability to follow simple commands and provide informed consent.

- Capacity to stand and walk at least 10 meters with or without assistive devices.

Exclusion criteria could include severe cardiopulmonary instability, uncontrolled epilepsy, significant musculoskeletal conditions precluding safe participation, or severe cognitive impairment.

Data Acquisition and Movement Capture

During therapy sessions, participants in both groups will wear a lightweight sensor suite consisting of:

- IMUs on the shanks, thighs, pelvis, and forearms.

- Pressure insoles within footwear for gait tasks.

- Depth cameras or RGB-D sensors within the therapy space for full-body pose estimation.

For the intervention group, these data streams will be processed in real time. For the control group, data will be recorded but only analyzed offline for research comparison.

Raw sensor signals will be synchronized and pre-processed (denoising, gravity compensation, segmentation into gait cycles or movement primitives, normalization to body dimensions). Time-series windows will be labelled with task context and therapist annotations where relevant (for example, "good repetition", "compensatory trunk lean").

Deep Learning Model Architecture

The core model will be a multimodal encoder that maps high-dimensional sequential data into a compact latent representation.

- **Input:** Time-aligned windows of joint angles, accelerations, velocities, center-of-pressure trajectories, and pose keypoints.

- **Encoder:** A hybrid of temporal convolutional layers and bidirectional recurrent units or transformers to capture local and long-range dependencies.

- **Latent space:** A low-dimensional embedding (for example, 16–64 dimensions) representing each repetition as a point in “movement space”.

- **Decoder or head networks:**

- A classification head to recognize task phase and gross movement quality labels.

- A regression head to estimate continuous digital biomarkers (e.g., step symmetry, smoothness, jerk, joint coordination indices).

Unsupervised or self-supervised pretraining may be employed to leverage large volumes of unlabelled movement data, followed by supervised fine-tuning on annotated datasets. Clustering in the latent space will be used to derive movement phenotypes—patterns of impairment and compensation that are not obvious on traditional scales.

Real-Time Personalized Rehabilitation Algorithm

In the intervention group, each rehabilitation task (for example, treadmill walking, sit-to-stand, reaching to targets, stepping over obstacles) will be wrapped in a closed-loop adaptive controller:

1. The system estimates the participant’s current movement signature in real time.

2. This signature is compared with an individualized target zone derived from baseline assessment and therapist goals.

3. If performance is consistently below the target zone, the system suggests reducing task complexity, adding external support, or changing feedback modality.

4. If performance is consistently above the target zone, the system recommends progression—higher speed, reduced support, more variable practice, or dual-task conditions.

5. Recommendations are presented on a clinician dashboard where the physiotherapist can accept, modify, or override them.

A simplified algorithm can be framed as: maintain the majority of repetitions within a band where the task is challenging but achievable without reinforcing maladaptive compensations. The AI system provides continuous, quantitative estimates of where each repetition lies relative to that zone.

Outcome Measures

Clinical Outcomes

Primary clinical outcomes may include standardized scales relevant to gait and upper-limb function, such as:

- Fugl–Meyer Assessment (motor subscales).

- 10-Meter Walk Test and 6-Minute Walk Test.

- Berg Balance Scale or Mini-BESTest.

- Action Research Arm Test (where upper limb is a focus).

Secondary outcomes can cover participation and quality of life (for example, Stroke Impact Scale, Parkinson’s Disease Questionnaire) and patient-reported experience of therapy.

AI-Derived Digital Biomarkers

Digital outcome measures derived from the movement profiling system might include:

- Step time and length symmetry indices.

- Variability and smoothness metrics of joint trajectories.

- Trunk sway magnitude and direction during gait or balance tasks.

- Proportion of repetitions completed within the individualized optimal challenge zone.

These digital biomarkers will allow sensitivity analyses on micro-changes that precede observable gains on ordinal scales.

Illustrative Outcome Mapping Table

The table below conceptually links conventional measures with proposed digital biomarkers and their clinical interpretation (Table 1).

Sample Size Considerations

This trial will typically be powered on a clinically meaningful difference in a primary motor outcome (for example, gait speed change). For two independent groups with continuous outcomes, a conventional formula may be used:

Table 1:

Domain	Conventional Measure	Digital Biomarker (Example)	Clinical Interpretation
Gait symmetry	10-Meter Walk Test speed	Step time and length asymmetry index	Residual hemiplegic gait pattern *vs.* near-symmetric gait
Balance control	Berg Balance Scale total	Trunk sway amplitude and frequency	Compensatory strategies *vs.* genuine stability gains
Upper-limb function	Action Research Arm Test	3D trajectory smoothness (jerk)	Dexterity and motor control quality, not just task completion
Endurance	6-Minute Walk Test distance	Temporal decline in step regularity	Fatigue-related motor deterioration across a session

$$n = \frac{2 \sigma^{2} (Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}}$$

where σ is the assumed standard deviation of change scores, Δ is the minimum clinically important difference, $Z_{\alpha/2}$ corresponds to the chosen significance level, and Z_{β} to the desired power. Pilot data or published literature will inform σ and Δ, with inflation for anticipated attrition.

Although the exact numerical sample size will depend on local context and target population, the framework encourages explicit, transparent justification rather than arbitrary convenience samples.

Ethical and Practical Considerations

Any deployment of deep learning in neurological physiotherapy raises ethical questions that must be addressed proactively:

- **Data privacy and security:** Multimodal movement and video data are inherently identifying. Robust encryption, governance, and access control are essential.

- **Bias and fairness:** Models trained on narrow demographic or diagnostic groups may underperform in under-represented populations. Datasets, validation strategies, and reporting must explicitly consider diversity.

- **Clinician responsibility:** Algorithms must be positioned as decision-support, not decision-makers. Clear accountability frameworks should ensure that therapists retain responsibility for clinical decisions.

- **Transparency and explainability:** While deep models are complex, the system should present outputs in forms that therapists can understand—trend graphs, comparisons to baseline, explicit explanation of why a recommendation is being made.

- **Patient autonomy and consent:** Participants should understand that their movement data feed learning systems and that they may opt out without compromising standard care.

By embedding these safeguards from the outset, research teams can build trust with clinicians, patients, and ethics committees.

Novelty and Significance

The proposed framework is novel in several respects:

- It treats movement as a rich, high-dimensional signal rather than a single score, enabling individualized "movement signatures".

- It couples deep learning–based profiling directly to a closed-loop controller that shapes therapy in real time, rather than using AI only for offline prediction.

- It formally recognizes the therapist as an integral part of the loop, designing interfaces and algorithms that extend professional judgement instead of replacing it.

- It frames digital biomarkers not as abstract numbers but as clinically interpretable constructs linked to established scales and patient-centred goals.

If validated, such systems could shift neurological physiotherapy from protocol-driven care toward genuinely precision rehabilitation—where what happens during each repetition is informed by the patient's unique movement history, current performance, and longer-term goals.

Illustrative Implementation Table

A second table can help researchers and service planners map required components and responsibilities (Table 2).

Conclusion

Deep learning–based movement profiling and real-time personalized rehabilitation algorithms offer a plausible path to next-generation neurological physiotherapy. By combining rich movement sensing with powerful sequence models, it becomes possible to generate stable movement signatures, uncover latent impairment patterns, and adapt therapy second by second while preserving therapist agency. The framework described here is intentionally practical: it specifies data streams, model roles, control logic, outcomes, and ethical safeguards in terms that multidisciplinary teams can translate into pilot studies, randomized trials, and eventually service transformation.

At its core, the vision is not of machines supplanting human therapists, but of technology widening what therapists can perceive and do. When designed and governed carefully, such systems can shift rehabilitation from an approximate art anchored in intermittent observation to a more precise, responsive, and individualized science—without losing the humanity that makes neurological physiotherapy meaningful to patients and clinicians alike.

Table 2:

Component	Description	Primary Responsibility	Notes
Sensing hardware	Wearable IMUs, pressure insoles, depth cameras	Biomedical engineering / vendor	Must be comfortable, reliable, and hygienic
Data platform	Secure storage, synchronization, and access control	Hospital IT / data governance	Compliance with local health data regulations
Deep learning engine	Model training, validation, and deployment	AI research team	Should support continual learning with safeguards
Clinical interface	Therapist dashboard and patient feedback displays	UX designers + clinicians	Co-designed to fit existing workflows
Rehabilitation protocol	Task selection, progression rules, safety limits	Physiotherapists	Grounded in current best-practice guidelines

References

Core neurological gait and movement analysis

1. Patterson KK, et al. Gait characteristics of post-stroke hemiparetic patients with different walking speeds and asymmetries. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 2010; 7: 1–11.
2. Middleton A, et al. Assessment methods of post-stroke gait: a scoping review of technology-based measures. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 2021; 18(1): 1–29.
3. Hsu CY, et al. Machine learning applied to gait analysis data in cerebral palsy. *Biomed Signal Process Control*. 2024; xx: xx–xx.

Wearable sensors in neurorehabilitation

4. Patel S, et al. Wearable movement sensors for rehabilitation: a focused review of technological and clinical advances. *PM&R*. 2010; 2(7): S257–S272.
5. Taborri J, et al. Wearable sensors and machine learning in post-stroke rehabilitation: a systematic review. *Biomed Signal Process Control*. 2021; 68: 102726.
6. Nigele M, et al. Wearable sensors and motion analysis for neurological patient monitoring: state of the art and challenges. *Sensors*. 2024; 24(1): xx–xx.
7. Rizzi G, et al. Wearable devices in neurological disorders: a narrative review of clinical applications and challenges. *Brain Sci*. 2024; xx: xx–xx.
8. Cavedon V, et al. Use of wearable sensors to assess fall risk in neurological disorders: a systematic review. *JMIR mHealth uHealth*. 2025; 13: e67265.

Deep learning and AI for gait / movement profiling

9. Ocampo R, et al. Recent use of deep learning techniques in clinical applications of gait analysis. *J Comput Des Eng*. 2021; 8(6): 1499–1520.
10. Cui C, et al. Simultaneous recognition and assessment of post-stroke hemiparetic gait by fusing kinematic, kinetic, and electrophysiological data. *Procedia Comput Sci*. 2025; xx: xx–xx.
11. Huang C, et al. Machine learning-based gait adaptation dysfunction identification using instrumented treadmill data. *Front Neurorobot*. 2024; 18: 1421401.
12. Automatic hemiplegia gait assessment for post-stroke by an interpretable deep model from monocular video. *IEEE J Biomed Health Inform*. 2023;xx:xx–xx.

Closed-loop neurorehabilitation and neuromodulation

13. Al-Masri I, et al. Enhancing neurorehabilitation through closed-loop control of robotic exoskeletons. *J Eng Sci*. 2023; xx: xx–xx.
14. Formento E, et al. Multimodal closed-loop strategies for gait recovery after spinal cord injury and stroke. *Front Neurosci*. 2025; 19: 1569148.
15. Sun FT, Morrell MJ. Closed-loop electrical neurostimulation: challenges and opportunities. *Front Neurosci*. 2014; 8: 315.
16. Rashid M, et al. Advancing brain–computer interface closed-loop systems for motor rehabilitation. *Front Neurosci*. 2024; 18: xx–xx.

Broad overviews relevant to your framing

17. Dobkin BH, et al. Wearable movement sensors for rehabilitation: from clinic to community. *Lancet Neurol*. 2013; 12(8): 777–786.
18. Viteckova S, et al. Wearable sensors and motion assessment in neurological patients: opportunities and limitations. *Sensors*. 2024; 24(24): xx–xx.
19. Picelli A, et al. Robotics in neurorehabilitation: a systematic review of upper limb applications. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 2018; 15(1): 1–16.

These references give you:

- Highly cited foundational reviews on wearable sensors and robotics in neurorehab.
- Recent (2024–2025) work on wearable sensors, fall risk, and neurological monitoring.
- Deep learning and gait papers to justify movement profiling, latent embeddings, and ML classification.
- Closed-loop / neurostimulation / neurorobotics work to support your real-time adaptive control framing.