



Between Disturbance and Continuity: A Personal Reflection on Trust, Mentorship, and Meaning in Academic Life

Chee Kong Yap*

Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

Abstract

Academic life is often presented as a rational pursuit driven by data, logic, and measurable outcomes. Yet, beneath this structured surface lies a deeply human experience shaped by trust, disappointment, mentorship, and reflection. This paper presents a personal narrative grounded in lived academic experience, reflecting on a challenging relationship with a postdoctoral researcher under my supervision and, in contrast, the meaningful and uplifting engagement with undergraduate students in the same laboratory. Drawing upon concepts from research integrity, mentorship, and ecological resilience, this reflection argues that academic life should be understood as a dynamic system where disturbances coexist with growth. While moments of betrayal may leave lasting emotional imprints, the enduring value of mentorship and student commitment defines the true meaning and legacy of academic work.

Keywords: Reflection; Mentorship; Trust; Resilience; Academic Life

Introduction

I have come to realize and accept, slowly and honestly, that nothing in life will ever be perfect. Academia, despite its structure, is no exception. There are moments that bring satisfaction and quiet pride, but there are also moments that return unexpectedly, disturbing the mind.

Academic life is built on trust, yet this trust is often assumed rather than examined. We expect those working within our research environment to share values of integrity, responsibility, and respect. These are not merely professional expectations, but ethical foundations of research practice [13]. At the same time, the academic environment is increasingly shaped by competition, which can influence relationships, behaviours, and even the way scientists interact with one another [2]. When these expectations are challenged, the experience lingers.

Beyond research output, academia has always carried a broader purpose. It is not only about generating knowledge but also about shaping individuals, values, and society itself [4]. Meaning in academia therefore does not arise solely from publications or measurable achievements, but from relationships and human interactions.

Mentorship, in particular, plays a central role in shaping both professional development and personal growth, contributing to career advancement, confidence, and a deeper sense of purpose [7, 12]. This reflection emerges from holding both realities together, not as contradictions, but as essential components of the same journey.

A Disturbance in Trust: Reflection on a Postdoctoral Experience

There are experiences that pass, and there are experiences that remain. My interaction with a postdoctoral researcher under my supervision belongs to the latter. It is not easy to describe such an experience using only academic language, because what is affected goes beyond professional roles. It touches on trust.

In a laboratory, trust is not optional. It is foundational. It allows ideas to flow, responsibilities to be shared, and research to move forward. When this trust is disrupted, it creates not only operational challenges but also emotional disturbance.

I found myself revisiting this experience repeatedly. Not because I wanted to, but because unresolved tensions tend to return. Psychological studies have shown that negative experiences often leave stronger impressions than positive ones [3]. Furthermore, mentorship literature highlights that relational difficulties and mismatched expectations are common barriers to effective academic



OPEN ACCESS

*Correspondence:

Chee Kong Yap, Department of
Biology, Faculty of Science, Universiti
Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang,
Selangor, Malaysia,
E-mail: yapchee@upm.edu.my

Received Date: 30 May 2026

Accepted Date: 13 Jul 2026

Published Date: 15 Jul 2026

Citation:

Chee Kong Yap. Between Disturbance
and Continuity: A Personal Reflection
on Trust, Mentorship, and Meaning in
Academic Life. WebLog J Psychiatry
Behav Sci. wjpbs.2026.g1505. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21739309>

Copyright © 2026 Chee Kong Yap. This
is an open access article distributed
under the Creative Commons Attribution
License, which permits unrestricted
use, distribution, and reproduction in
any medium, provided the original work
is properly cited.

mentorship [15].

Over time, I began to see this experience differently. In ecology, disturbances are not always destructive. They reveal system weaknesses and boundaries. This experience has clarified my understanding of leadership, expectations, and the importance of clearly defined roles within academic supervision.

The Quiet Strength of Students: Meaningful Moments in the Laboratory

In contrast, there are memories that bring calmness and meaning. I recall my undergraduate students who had just completed their final year projects in early 2026 under my supervision. What remains with me is not merely their academic output, but their attitude.

They came to the laboratory during the semester break. They worked during the fasting month. They showed commitment without immediate reward. These actions may seem simple, but they carry deep meaning.

Mentorship is widely recognised as a transformative force in academic life. It supports not only technical skill development but also emotional growth, identity formation, and a sense of belonging [6, 11]. More importantly, mentorship is reciprocal. Mentors also gain fulfilment through guiding others [14].

Trust plays a central role in this process. It enables students to express vulnerability, ask questions, and develop confidence [1]. What I observed in my students reflects this environment of trust and shared purpose.

In those moments, I realised that teaching is not about transferring knowledge. It is about shaping attitudes, building resilience, and creating a space where students feel that their efforts matter.

An Ecological Lens: Understanding Academic Life as a System

As someone trained in ecology, I cannot separate my reflection from ecological thinking. An ecosystem is never perfect. It is shaped by interactions, disturbances, and adaptation. Stability is not the absence of disturbance, but the ability to continue functioning despite it [8].

Academic life mirrors this structure. The difficult experience with the postdoctoral researcher represents a disturbance. The commitment of students represents a reinforcing interaction that strengthens the system.

Mentorship can also be viewed as a process of continuity, where knowledge and values are transferred across individuals. It creates meaning by fostering belonging, identity, and purpose [5, 9].

Resilience, therefore, is not about avoiding difficulty. It is about continuing with purpose. Reflection allows individuals to reorganise experiences and move forward without being defined by a single event [16].

Legacy and the Meaning of Academic Work

With time, clarity emerges. The difficult experience remains part of my journey, but it no longer defines it. What stands stronger are the memories of students who showed dedication, discipline, and respect for learning.

Academic systems often prioritise measurable outputs such as

publications and citations. However, the true meaning of academic work lies beyond metrics. It lies in shaping individuals, building values, and contributing to the development of future generations.

Mentorship has been described as a transformative process that reshapes identity and purpose [10]. This is what I witnessed in my students.

They will move on. They will carry with them not only knowledge, but also the attitudes formed during their time in the laboratory. In this way, the influence of teaching continues, quietly but powerfully.

Conclusion

This reflection began with discomfort, a revisiting of a difficult memory. Yet, through reflection, it has led to understanding. Academic life is not defined by perfection. It is defined by its complexity. Trust may be challenged. Relationships may not always align. Yet, within the same system, there are moments of meaning, growth, and continuity. The experience with the postdoctoral researcher reminds me of the importance of boundaries, clarity, and leadership. The experience with students reminds me of the purpose of teaching.

In the end, what defines my academic journey is not the disturbance, but the continuity. The ability to continue guiding, teaching, and contributing despite challenges remains the most meaningful outcome.

Declaration on the Use of Artificial Intelligence

The author declares that generative artificial intelligence tools were used in a supportive capacity during the preparation of this manuscript. These tools assisted with language refinement, structural organisation, and stylistic clarity of the text. All ideas, interpretations, reflections, and conclusions presented in this paper are the author's own and are grounded in personal experience and scholarly judgment. The author retains full responsibility for the content, accuracy, originality, and integrity of the manuscript.

References

1. Akhtar E. K & Ali T. S. Mentoring in research and academia is a faculty life saver: Theoretical and practical evidence. In N. R. Gantz & T. B. Hafsteinsdóttir (Eds.), *Mentoring in nursing through narrative stories across the world*. 2023; (pp. 445–457). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-25204-4_39
2. Anderson M. S, Ronning E. A, De Vries R & Martinson B. C. The perverse effects of competition on scientists' work and relationships. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 2007; 13(4), 437–461. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-007-9042-5>
3. Baumeister R. F, Bratslavsky E, Finkenauer C & Vohs K. D. Bad is stronger than good. *Review of General Psychology*, 2001; 5(4), 323–370. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.5.4.323>
4. Boyer E. L. *Scholarship reconsidered: Priorities of the professoriate*. Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, New York, USA. 1990.
5. Chugh S, Mishra A, Dabas A & Chugh C. Fostering hope and humanity through transformative education: A call to reimagine mentorship. In *Stories of hope* (pp. 49–60). Open Book Publishers. 2025. <https://doi.org/10.11647/OBP.0462.04>
6. Crisp G & Cruz I. Mentoring college students: A critical review of the literature. *Research in Higher Education*, 2009; 50(6), 525–545. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-009-9130-2>
7. Germeroth D, Murray C. M, McMullen-Roach S & Boshoff K. A scoping

- review of mentorship in allied health: Attributes, programs and outcomes. *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal*, 2024; 71(1), 136–154. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1630.12903>
8. Holling C. S. Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 1973; 4, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.04.110173.000245>
 9. Kim S & Voorhis J. K. “What’s Next?”: Mentorship Through a Storytelling Card Game. *Teachers College Record: The Voice of Scholarship in Education*, 2026; 128(1), 117–134. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01614681261425720>
 10. Lunsford L. G, Crisp G, Dolan E. L & Wuetherick B. Mentorship in higher education. In D. A. Clutterbuck, F. K. Kochan, L. G. Lunsford, N. A. Miller, & T. D. Smith (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of mentoring*. 2017; (pp. 316–334). <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781526402011.n20>
 11. Naranjee N & Naidoo V. The power of mentorship: In learning, we teach, and in teaching, we learn! In N. R. Gantz & T. B. Hafsteinsdóttir (Eds.), *Mentoring in nursing through narrative stories across the world*. 2023; (pp. 3–12). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-25204-4_1
 12. Ortega G, Smith C, Pichardo M. S, Ramirez A & Sarpel U. Preparing for an academic career: The significance of mentoring. *MedEdPORTAL*, 2018; 14, 10690. https://doi.org/10.15766/mep_2374-8265.10690
 13. Resnik D. B & Shamoo A. E. The Singapore statement on research integrity. *Accountability in Research*, 2011; 18(2), 71–75. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08989621.2011.557296>
 14. Rodríguez D. What is this thing called mentoring? *Sociologica*, 2024; 18(3), 21–30. <https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1971-8853/19866>
 15. Sambunjak D, Straus S. E & Marusic A. A systematic review of qualitative research on mentoring in academic medicine. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 2010; 25(1), 72–78. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-009-1165-8>
 16. Southwick S. M, Bonanno G. A, Masten A. S, Panter-Brick C & Yehuda R. Resilience definitions, theory, and challenges: Interdisciplinary perspectives. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 2014; 5(1), 25338. <https://doi.org/10.3402/ejpt.v5.25338>