



Early Mobilization Protocols in Intensive Care Unit Patients: Evidence Based Approaches to Functional Recovery and Prevention of ICU Acquired Weakness

Muthukrishnan P^{1*} and Rajadurai S²

¹M.P.T (Orthopedics) Research Scholar, Meenakshi Academy of Higher Education and Research (MAHER), Campus No.12, Vembuliamman Koil Street, West K.K Nagar, Chennai, India

²Associate Professor, Orthopedics, Meenakshi Medical College Hospital and Research Institute, Chennai, India



OPEN ACCESS

*Correspondence:

Muthukrishnan P, M.P.T (Orthopedics)
Research Scholar, Meenakshi
Academy of Higher Education and
Research (MAHER), Campus No.12,
Vembuliamman Koil Street, West K.K
Nagar, Chennai, India,
E-mail: krishphysio5335@gmail.com

Received Date: 19 Jan 2026

Accepted Date: 21 Feb 2026

Published Date: 23 Feb 2026

Citation:

Muthukrishnan P, Rajadurai S. Early
Mobilization Protocols in Intensive
Care Unit Patients: Evidence Based
Approaches to Functional Recovery
and Prevention of ICU Acquired
Weakness. WebLog J Phys Ther
Rehabil. wjptr.2026.b2304. [https://doi.
org/10.5281/zenodo.18825269](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18825269)

Copyright© 2026 Muthukrishnan

P. This is an open access article
distributed under the Creative
Commons Attribution License, which
permits unrestricted use, distribution,
and reproduction in any medium,
provided the original work is properly
cited.

Abstract

Early Mobilization (EM) in the intensive care unit represents a paradigm shift in critical care rehabilitation, addressing the profound physiological consequences of prolonged immobilization in mechanically ventilated patients. This evidence synthesis examines contemporary research on early mobilization protocols and their impact on functional outcomes, ICU acquired weakness prevention, and healthcare utilization in critically ill populations. A systematic analysis of 15 randomized controlled trials and observational studies (n=8,609 patients) demonstrates that early mobilization initiated within 72 hours of ICU admission, combined with multidisciplinary physiotherapy protocols, significantly improves functional status at hospital discharge (Barthel Index odds ratio=3.44, 95% CI 1.70-6.96), reduces ICU-acquired weakness incidence by 45% (35.7% vs. 80.7%, $p=0.001$), and reduces mechanical ventilation duration by approximately 2.27 days (95% CI -3.99 to -0.56, $p=0.009$). Goal-directed early mobilization protocols demonstrate superior outcomes compared to conventional early mobilization, with increased Medical Research Council scores (37.67 ± 10.00 vs. 29.67 ± 8.84 , $p<0.001$) and shorter ICU stays (10.44 ± 3.92 vs. 15.47 ± 4.38 days, $p<0.001$). Each additional 10 minutes of physiotherapy intervention reduces hospital length of stay by approximately 1.2 days. Early mobilization safety data demonstrate less than 3% probability of adverse events with no significant increase in mortality, providing strong reassurance for clinical implementation. This evidence-based review establishes early mobilization as a safe, effective, cost-effective intervention substantially improving functional independence and quality of life outcomes in survivors of critical illness.

Keywords: Early Mobilization; Intensive Care Unit; ICU-Acquired Weakness; Mechanical Ventilation; Functional Outcomes; Physiotherapy; Critical Care Rehabilitation; Deconditioning; Activities of Daily Living

Introduction

Critical illness and prolonged mechanical ventilation impose profound physiological stress on multiple organ systems, with consequences extending far beyond the acute illness episode. Mechanically ventilated patients in intensive care units experience severe, progressive muscle atrophy and deconditioning resulting from prolonged immobilization. Within a single week of mechanical ventilation in the ICU, the cross-sectional area of quadriceps muscle decreases by up to 12.5%, with corresponding strength losses reaching 27% after only 14 days of immobilization [1]. This severe muscle loss, termed ICU-Acquired Weakness (ICUAW), represents one of the most significant complications of critical illness, fundamentally compromising functional independence and quality of life in survivors.

The consequences of ICU-acquired weakness extend beyond the acute hospitalization. Survivors of prolonged critical illness frequently exhibit persistent functional disability, reduced community participation, and extended recovery trajectories. Approximately 50% of ICU survivors experience significant functional impairment at hospital discharge, with many requiring prolonged rehabilitation or long-term care placement [2]. The economic burden is substantial - prolonged ICU length of stay and extended hospital hospitalization resulting from deconditioning impose enormous healthcare expenditures affecting both patients and healthcare systems globally.

Traditional critical care management has emphasized sedation, mechanical ventilation support, and treatment of underlying disease processes, with limited attention to mobilization during the acute illness phase. Historically, immobilization was considered necessary for patient safety and stabilization. Contemporary evidence, however, demonstrates that prolonged immobilization perpetuates the very deconditioning and weakness that compromise recovery. Early Mobilization (EM), defined as initiation of structured physical activity and progressive movement within 72 hours of ICU admission, has emerged as a revolutionary rehabilitation approach addressing this paradox [3].

Emerging evidence demonstrates that early mobilization protocols integrated within multidisciplinary critical care management substantially reduce ICU-acquired weakness incidence, accelerate functional recovery, reduce mechanical ventilation duration, and shorten hospital length of stay without increasing safety risks. Meta-analytic evidence suggests each additional 10 minutes of physiotherapy intervention reduces hospital stay by approximately 1.2 days, establishing a clear dose-response relationship between rehabilitation intensity and clinical outcomes [4].

This comprehensive review synthesizes contemporary evidence on early mobilization protocols, mechanisms underlying therapeutic efficacy, clinical implementation strategies, and functional outcomes across diverse critically ill patient populations. By integrating findings from recent randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses, and observational studies, this appraisal establishes evidence-based recommendations for optimizing early mobilization implementation in contemporary critical care settings.

Physiological Consequences of Prolonged Immobilization in Critical Illness

Understanding the profound physiological consequences of immobilization provides essential context for appreciating early mobilization therapeutic mechanisms and clinical importance.

Skeletal Muscle Atrophy and Weakness

Skeletal muscle responds to disuse through rapid atrophy, characterized by reduced muscle fiber cross-sectional area, loss of muscle mass, and deterioration of contractile protein composition. Mechanically ventilated ICU patients demonstrate extraordinary rates of muscle loss substantially exceeding those observed in other immobilized populations. The cross-sectional area of the quadriceps muscle decreases by 10-12.5% within the first week of ICU admission [5]. Young, previously healthy individuals demonstrate quadriceps losses of 9% within 14 days of immobilization, with corresponding strength reductions of 27%-losses equivalent to several years of age-related muscle atrophy compressed into two weeks [6].

The mechanisms underlying this accelerated muscle atrophy involve multiple pathways. Immobilization triggers protein synthesis suppression through inhibition of mammalian Target of Rapamycin (mTOR) signaling, simultaneously activating proteolytic pathways including the ubiquitin-proteasome system and autophagy. Pro-inflammatory cytokine elevation (interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-alpha) characteristic of critical illness further accelerates proteolysis. Systemic inflammation combined with immobilization creates a catabolic environment of extraordinary severity [7].

Additionally, critical illness involves severe mitochondrial dysfunction and impaired oxidative metabolism. The loss of

aerobic capacity compounds muscle weakness, creating a profound functional disability extending far beyond simple strength loss. Patients surviving critical illness demonstrate persistent reduction in mitochondrial function and oxidative capacity months following discharge [8].

Respiratory Muscle Weakness

Diaphragmatic atrophy represents a particularly important consequence of prolonged mechanical ventilation. The diaphragm, mechanically unloaded during positive pressure ventilation, undergoes rapid atrophy and develops contractile dysfunction. Diaphragmatic atrophy correlates strongly with difficulty weaning from mechanical ventilation and prolonged ventilator dependence [9]. Accessory respiratory muscle deconditioning similarly impairs the capacity for spontaneous ventilation and effective coughing, increasing vulnerability to ventilator-associated pneumonia and respiratory complications.

Cardiovascular Deconditioning

Prolonged immobilization produces severe cardiovascular deconditioning characterized by reduced cardiac output reserve, impaired oxygen delivery, and orthostatic dysfunction. Venous pooling during recumbency combined with reduced skeletal muscle activity (eliminating the muscle pump mechanism) contributes to circulatory stasis and reduced venous return. Critically ill patients frequently develop orthostatic intolerance, manifesting as hypotension and syncope upon standing - a prominent barrier to mobilization and functional recovery [10].

Neurological Complications: Delirium and Critical Care Myopathy

Critical illness-associated delirium represents a profound neurological complication affecting 50-80% of mechanically ventilated ICU patients, characterized by fluctuating altered mental status, inattention, and disorganized thinking. Immobilization and sensory deprivation contribute to delirium pathophysiology through reduced arousal, diminished proprioceptive input, and reduced environmental engagement. Early mobilization, by increasing sensory input, physical activity, and cognitive engagement, reduces delirium incidence [11].

Critical illness myopathy involves structural and functional muscle damage beyond simple disuse atrophy. Necrotizing myopathy characterized by myofiber necrosis and regeneration occurs in severe critical illness, particularly in sepsis and multiorgan failure. This condition produces profound weakness sometimes persisting for months following ICU discharge [12].

Psychological and Cognitive Consequences

Prolonged ICU immobilization produces significant psychological stress including anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder affecting 20-50% of ICU survivors. The profound loss of autonomy, physical helplessness, and sensory deprivation characteristic of immobilization perpetuate psychological trauma. Early mobilization restores agency, increases environmental engagement, and improves psychological wellbeing [13].

Cognitive impairment frequently develops in critically ill patients, particularly following prolonged mechanical ventilation. Critical illness associated cognitive impairment manifests as memory dysfunction, executive function deficits, and reduced processing speed, affecting approximately 30% of ICU survivors at hospital

discharge [14]. Physical activity and cognitive engagement through early mobilization protocols address these complications through increased arousal, environmental stimulation, and restoration of purposeful activity.

Mechanisms of Early Mobilization and Therapeutic Efficacy

Early mobilization operates through multiple interdependent pathways simultaneously addressing the cascading physiological consequences of immobilization.

Prevention of Muscle Atrophy Through Mechanical Loading

Early mobilization, even passive or assisted movement, applies mechanical loading to skeletal muscle through gravity-dependent stress and, progressively, active muscle contraction. Mechanical loading reactivates mTOR signaling, suppresses protein degradation pathways, and stimulates anabolic protein synthesis. This mechanical stimulus proves sufficient to substantially reduce protein loss even during critical illness [15]. Progressive resistance exercise and weight-bearing activities generate particularly robust anabolic responses, maximizing muscle protein synthesis rates and preserving muscle mass.

The fundamental principle underlying early mobilization efficacy involves exploiting the enormous plasticity of skeletal muscle. While immobilization triggers rapid atrophy through molecular switching toward catabolic pathways, physical activity equally rapidly reverses this molecular state, reactivating anabolic mechanisms. Even modest physical activity substantially reduces the trajectory of muscle loss [16].

Restoration of Cardiovascular Function and Oxygen Delivery

Physical activity restores cardiovascular homeostasis through multiple mechanisms. Active muscle contraction reactivates the skeletal muscle pump, improving venous return and cardiac preload. Progressive weightbearing and ambulation restore baroreceptor sensitivity and orthostatic tolerance through repeated postural challenges [17]. These cardiovascular adaptations occur remarkably rapidly - within days of initiation - suggesting early mobilization should commence as soon as medical stability permits.

Aerobic conditioning through early mobilization restores oxidative metabolic capacity through mitochondrial biogenesis and capillary angiogenesis. Even brief early mobilization protocols demonstrably improve exercise capacity and reduce the profound deconditioning characteristic of critical illness [18].

Neurological Benefits and Delirium Prevention

Early mobilization increases sensory input through proprioceptive feedback from movement, tactile stimulation, and visual environmental engagement. This multisensory input increases cortical arousal and cerebral activation, addressing the neurobiological substrate of delirium. Patients engaged in early mobilization demonstrate increased alertness, improved consciousness, and markedly reduced delirium incidence [19].

Physical activity stimulates dopaminergic and serotonergic neurotransmitter systems implicated in mood regulation and motivation, explaining the psychological benefits associated with early mobilization. The restoration of purposeful activity - walking

toward a goal, maintaining posture, engaging with environmental challenges - restores dignity and agency profoundly beneficial for psychological recovery [20].

Prevention of Secondary Complications

Early mobilization prevents multiple secondary complications characteristic of prolonged immobilization. Improved ventilation-perfusion matching through positional changes and increased activity reduces ventilator associated pneumonia incidence. Enhanced lymphatic drainage and improved circulation reduce venous thromboembolism risk. Pressure relief through positional changes and mobilization reduces pressure ulcer incidence. These secondary complication reductions, while not primary endpoints, substantially contribute to improved overall outcomes [21].

Clinical Evidence for Early Mobilization Effectiveness

Meta-Analytic Evidence and Systematic Reviews

Contemporary meta-analytic evidence demonstrates compelling support for early mobilization efficacy. A comprehensive 2023 meta-analysis examining nine randomized controlled trials of systematic early mobilization in mechanically ventilated adult ICU patients demonstrated that systematic early mobilization reduced intensive care unit length of stay by 2.18 days (95% CI -4.22 to -0.13, $p=0.04$) and mechanical ventilation duration by 2.27 days (95% CI -3.99 to -0.56, $p=0.009$) [22]. While mortality was not reduced, these substantial reductions in ICU and mechanical ventilation duration translate to meaningful clinical and economic benefits.

A large retrospective cohort analysis of 8,609 patients from seven adult ICUs demonstrated that patients mobilized out of bed experienced 5% reduction in hospital stay length (adjusted coefficient -0.05, 95% CI -0.07 to -0.03) and reduced mechanical ventilation duration compared to those remaining in bed [23]. Each additional out-of-bed mobility event per eligible day produced approximately 5% reduction in hospital stay length. This dose-response relationship demonstrates that greater mobilization intensity produces proportionally greater benefits.

A recent prospective study of 206 mechanically ventilated ICU patients examining barriers to and achievement of early mobilization found that achieving early mobilization (defined as out-of-bed sitting or standing within five days of ICU admission) was associated with dramatically improved functional independence at hospital discharge. Patients achieving early mobilization demonstrated Barthel Index scores ≥ 70 (functional independence) significantly more frequently than those without early mobilization achievement (odds ratio 3.44, 95% CI 1.70-6.96) [24].

Goal-Directed Early Mobilization Protocols

Recent evidence emphasizes the superiority of Goal-Directed Early Mobilization (EGDM) protocols compared to conventional early mobilization approaches. Goal-directed mobilization employs structured, hierarchical progression through progressive mobility levels (passive mobilization, active-assisted mobilization, independent activity, resistance exercise, ambulation) systematically advancing as patient stability and capacity permit [25].

A 2025 randomized controlled trial comparing goal-directed early mobilization to conventional early mobilization in 64 mechanically ventilated patients demonstrated superior outcomes for EGDM. Medical Research Council muscle strength scores - objective



Figure 1: Early Mobilization in Critical Care Setting. The image demonstrates physiotherapist-assisted mobilization of ICU patient with mechanical ventilation support, depicting proper positioning, physical assistance, and integrated monitoring of hemodynamic stability essential for safe early mobilization implementation in intensive care.

measures of muscle strength—were significantly higher in the EGDM group (37.67 ± 10.00 vs. 29.67 ± 8.84 , $p < 0.001$). Mechanical ventilation duration was substantially shorter in EGDM patients (6.19 ± 3.03 vs. 9.64 ± 3.05 days, $p < 0.001$), and ICU length of stay was reduced (10.44 ± 3.92 vs. 15.47 ± 4.38 days, $p < 0.001$) [26]. These substantial differences demonstrate that structured goal-directed protocols produce superior outcomes compared to conventional approaches.

A landmark randomized trial of the "Start to Move" protocol - a hierarchical, multidisciplinary early mobilization program - compared to conventional treatment in 69 ICU patients with prolonged mechanical ventilation demonstrated exceptional effectiveness. The incidence of ICU-acquired weakness at ICU discharge was dramatically reduced in the "Start to Move" group (35.7% vs. 80.7%, $p = 0.001$) [27]. Functional Status Scores (FSS-ICU) were significantly higher in the protocol group (26 vs. 17 points, $p = 0.001$). Barthel Index scores indicating functional independence were significantly improved (20% difference favoring protocol group, $p = 0.006$).

Timing of Mobilization Initiation

Evidence strongly supports early initiation of mobilization within 72 hours of ICU admission as a critical implementation principle. Analyses examining varying mobilization start times found that mobilization initiated within 72 hours produced superior outcomes compared to later initiation [28]. Initiating mobilization within the critical 72-hour window leverages maximal neuroplastic potential and prevents the most severe consequences of early immobilization.

A detailed analysis of timing effects in 206 mechanically ventilated patients found that achieving early mobilization by the fifth ICU Day produced the most substantial improvements in functional outcomes (Barthel Index ≥ 70), with progressively declining benefits for mobilization achieved later in the ICU stay [29]. This temporal sensitivity likely reflects the exponential relationship between immobilization duration and muscle loss - preventing the initial rapid atrophy within the first days produces substantially greater net benefit than mobilization initiated after massive atrophy has already occurred.

Dose-Response Relationships

Contemporary evidence demonstrates clear dose-response relationships between mobilization intensity and clinical outcomes. The greater the volume of mobilization activity (measured in minutes of physiotherapy or number of out-of-bed mobility events), the greater the functional benefit observed [30].

Each additional 10 minutes of physiotherapy or occupational therapy intervention produces approximately 1.2-day reduction in hospital length of stay [31]. This dose-response relationship indicates that maximizing rehabilitation intensity substantially improves outcomes. Institutions implementing high-intensity, multidisciplinary early mobilization protocols achieve superior results compared to those implementing minimal mobilization.

ICU-Acquired Weakness Prevention and Mechanisms

ICU-Acquired Weakness (ICUAW) represents one of the most significant complications of critical illness, affecting 45-50% of mechanically ventilated ICU patients in the absence of preventive interventions. ICUAW manifests as objective weakness documented by Medical Research Council testing, distinguishing it from delirium or other acute mental status changes [32].

Early mobilization substantially reduces ICUAW incidence through multiple mechanisms. The "Start to Move" protocol reduced ICUAW incidence by 45 percentage points compared to conventional treatment (35.7% vs. 80.7%), demonstrating that structured early mobilization protocols provide powerful prevention [33].

The protective mechanisms involve preventing the molecular cascade driving muscle atrophy through maintaining mechanical loading and anabolic stimulation. Early mobilization also improves nutritional support tolerance and mitochondrial function, preventing the metabolic derangements perpetuating weakness. Additionally, early mobilization reduces sepsis complications and critical illness severity scores, reducing the systemic inflammatory environment driving catabolism [34].

Electrical muscle stimulation represents an adjunctive approach to preventing ICUAW in patients unable to perform voluntary muscle contractions. Electrical stimulation achieves muscle activation similar to voluntary contraction while bypassing the need for conscious effort, providing particular benefit in sedated or neurologically impaired patients [35].

Clinical Outcomes and Implementation

Safety of Early Mobilization

A critical question concerns safety of early mobilization in critically ill, hemodynamically unstable patients. Comprehensive safety analyses demonstrate early mobilization safety and excellent tolerability. A 2024 systematic review and meta-analysis examining 22 randomized controlled trials and observational studies found that mobilization implementation was associated with less than 3% probability of serious adverse events [36]. No significantly increased mortality was documented. Common adverse events (hemodynamic instability, oxygen desaturation, equipment displacement) occurred in <3% of mobilization sessions and resolved rapidly without requiring intervention cessation [37] (Table 1).

This remarkable safety profile provides strong reassurance that early mobilization, when implemented with appropriate precautions and within clear safety parameters, poses minimal risk while producing substantial benefits. The marginal risk is vastly outweighed by demonstrated functional benefits.

Barriers to Implementation

Despite compelling evidence supporting early mobilization benefits, implementation remains inconsistent across ICUs.

Table 1: Meta-Analytic Synthesis of Early Mobilization Effectiveness in ICU Populations.

Outcome Measure	Effect Size/MD	95% CI	p- Value	Population (n)
ICU Length of Stay Reduction (days)	-2.18	-4.22 to -0.13	0.04	Mechanical ventilation (9 RCTs)
Mechanical Ventilation Duration (days)	-2.27	-3.99 to -0.56	0.009	Systematic EM (9 RCTs)
Hospital Length of Stay Reduction	-5%	-7 to -3%	<0.05	Out-of-bed mobility (n=8,609)
Functional Independence (Barthel ≥70)	OR 3.44	1.70– 6.96	<0.001	Early mobilization achievement (n=206)
ICU-Acquired Weakness Incidence	35.7%	vs. 80.7%	0.001	"Start to Move" vs. conventional (n=69)
Muscle Strength (MRC score)	+8 points	–	<0.001	Goal-directed vs. conventional (n=64)
Functional Status Score (FSS-ICU)	26 vs. 17	–	0.001	"Start to Move" group (n=69)
Hospital LOS Reduction per 10 min PT	-1.2 days	–	<0.05	Dose-response analysis

Table 2: Early Mobilization Protocol Implementation and Outcomes Across ICU Settings.

Protocol Type	Key Features	Primary Outcomes	Safety Profile
Goal-Directed EM	Hierarchical progression, strict criteria advancement	↑MRC strength, ↓MV duration	<3% adverse events
"Start to Move"	6-level systematic program, integrated rehabilitation	↓ICUAW 45%, ↑FSS-ICU	No adverse events reported
Nurse-Led EM	Bedside initiation, safety education focus	↓ICU LOS 1.5–2 days	Excellent feasibility
Multidisciplinary EM	PT + OT + nursing coordination, intensity dose	↓Hospital LOS 1.2 days/10 min	Enhanced outcomes
EM + Electrical Stim	Active mobilization + NMES for severe weakness	↑Strength in unable to participate	Safe in sedated patients

Common barriers include excessive sedation limiting patient participation, inadequate staffing preventing dedicated mobilization time, hemodynamic instability in acute phases, and clinician concerns regarding safety [38].

Excessive sedation represents a particularly modifiable barrier. Daily sedation interruption and targeted light sedation significantly increase mobilization feasibility and intensity, enabling greater rehabilitation participation [39]. Institutions implementing protocols limiting sedation achieve substantially higher mobilization rates and superior functional outcomes.

Staffing limitations frequently constrain early mobilization implementation. Successful programs typically employ dedicated physiotherapy and nursing resources committed to early mobilization implementation. Multidisciplinary team approaches - involving physiotherapists, nurses, occupational therapists, and physicians - coordinate mobilization planning within broad rehabilitation context [40].

Patient hemodynamic instability requires individualized risk-benefit assessment. While acute instability may necessitate deferred mobilization, many patients tolerate early mobilization even with vasopressor support, with appropriate monitoring and careful progression. Overly restrictive approaches based on outdated safety assumptions limit mobilization access [41].

Clinical Implementation Parameters

Evidence-based early mobilization protocols employ consistent implementation parameters:

- Initiation timing: Within 72 hours of ICU admission, as soon as medical stability permits.
- Initial level: Passive mobilization, progressive to active-assisted, active, and resistance activities.
- Frequency: 5-7 sessions weekly, with higher frequency producing superior outcomes.

- Session duration: 30-60 minutes total rehabilitation time, including mobilization and exercise components.
- Progression criteria: Systematic advancement through mobility levels based on patient tolerance and stability.
- Intensity escalation: Progressive challenge incrementing as capacity improves.
- Monitoring parameters: Continuous vital sign monitoring, with clear stopping criteria (systolic BP<90 mmHg, heart rate>130 bpm, oxygen saturation<88%, acute mental status change).
- Multidisciplinary coordination: Integration with conventional critical care including sedation management, nutrition optimization, and infection prevention (Figure 1).

Adjunctive and Emerging Interventions

Contemporary research explores adjunctive approaches enhancing early mobilization effectiveness. Electrical muscle stimulation provides particular benefit in patients with profound sedation or neurological impairment preventing voluntary muscle contraction [42]. Cycle ergometry enables structured aerobic conditioning and lower extremity strengthening even in mechanically ventilated patients, substantially improving cardiovascular fitness and reducing hospitalization duration [43].

Virtual reality integration combines early mobilization with cognitive engagement and motivational enhancement through immersive environments providing real-time biofeedback and gamified progression [44]. Preliminary evidence suggests virtual reality integration improves patient motivation, mobilization adherence, and potentially accelerates functional recovery.

Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) enhancement through combined pharmacological and rehabilitation approaches represents an emerging direction supporting neuroplasticity and motor recovery optimization [45]. While currently investigational, these multimodal approaches hold promise for further improving

outcomes in severe critical illness (Table 2).

Physiotherapy Interventions and Evidence-Based Practices

Hierarchical Mobilization Progression

Structured early mobilization employs systematic progression through increasingly demanding movement activities. The foundational mobilization spectrum progresses logically from passive to active engagement:

Level 0-1 (Passive Mobilization): Therapist-initiated joint range of motion, passive limb movement, and positional changes. Provides essential mechanical stimulation, proprioceptive input, and prevention of contracture development without requiring patient participation. Maintains muscle mechanical properties and prevents the most severe aspects of disuse atrophy [46].

Level 2-3 (Active-Assisted Mobilization): Patient provides partial muscle contraction while therapist assists motion completion. Engages patients unable to overcome gravity or joint friction independently while providing graded resistance. Substantially increases anabolic stimulus compared to passive mobilization.

Level 4 (Active Mobilization): Patient performs full range of motion against gravity without therapist assistance. Requires adequate consciousness and muscle strength, representing substantial functional improvement. Generates robust anabolic stimulus driving muscle protein synthesis and strength development [47].

Level 5 (Resistance Exercise and Functional Training): Structured resistance exercises, standing tolerance, ambulation, and task-specific functional training. Represents maximal anabolic stimulus and functional recovery. Produces greatest strength gains and fastest functional restoration.

This progressive hierarchy enables individualization based on patient capacity while systematically advancing rehabilitation intensity as recovery permits.

Respiratory Physiotherapy Integration

Respiratory muscle strengthening represents a critical component of early mobilization programs. Diaphragmatic training, incentive spirometry, and progressive resistance breathing exercises specifically target the respiratory deconditioning characteristic of mechanical ventilation. Combined respiratory and skeletal muscle training produces superior weaning outcomes compared to skeletal muscle training alone [48].

Airway clearance techniques including positioning, percussion, and vibration maintain pulmonary ventilation-perfusion matching while mobilization simultaneously improves ventilation efficiency through positional changes and increased chest wall mobility.

Functional Training and Activities of Daily Living

Functional training specifically targets tasks essential for independence: sitting balance, sit-to-stand transfers, standing stability, ambulation, and self-care activities. Task-specific training produces greater functional gains than general strengthening through specificity principles, with training improvements most pronounced in trained tasks [49].

Progressive functional challenge through graded task difficulty - walking longer distances, increasing walking speed, navigating

obstacles, managing stairs - produces meaningful functional restoration enabling hospital discharge and community reintegration.

Implementation Strategies and Organizational Approaches

Multidisciplinary Team Models

Successful early mobilization programs employ clearly defined multidisciplinary teams including physiotherapists, occupational therapists, nurses, physicians, and respiratory therapists. Regular coordination meetings, shared protocols, and aligned objectives optimize rehabilitation coherence and outcomes [50].

Physiotherapists direct rehabilitation planning and progression, nurses facilitate bedside mobilization, occupational therapists target functional independence and self-care, respiratory therapists optimize ventilation and airway management, and physicians coordinate medical stability and sedation management. This collaborative approach produces superior implementation fidelity and outcomes.

Nurse-Led and Non-Physiotherapist Models

Early mobilization need not exclusively depend on physiotherapist-delivered interventions. Nurse-led early mobilization programs employing trained nursing staff to execute standardized mobilization protocols demonstrate comparable effectiveness to physiotherapist-directed programs while enhancing feasibility and sustainability [51]. Structured nursing education regarding safe mobilization techniques, progression criteria, and safety monitoring enables nurses to deliver substantial early mobilization volume.

Critical care nursing staff, present at bedside continuously, possess unique opportunity to integrate mobilization into routine care, providing frequent brief mobilization sessions accumulating substantial rehabilitation volume [52]. Each additional mobilization session, while brief, contributes meaningfully to cumulative rehabilitation dose and outcomes.

Health Information Systems Integration

Electronic health record systems enable systematic early mobilization tracking, outcome documentation, and protocol adherence monitoring. Automated safety alerts, progression recommendations, and outcome reporting optimize protocol implementation and identify patients not achieving mobilization targets despite eligibility [53]. Real-time dashboards tracking mobilization rates and outcomes enable quality improvement cycles targeting barrier identification and systematic implementation improvement.

Quality Improvement Approaches

Successful early mobilization implementation frequently employs quality improvement methodologies including Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles, barrier identification, and iterative testing of interventions targeting implementation barriers. Systematic approaches targeting specific obstacles (sedation reduction, staffing optimization, protocol standardization, education enhancement) produce substantial implementation improvements [54].

Organizations implementing such approaches typically achieve 50-70% early mobilization rates in eligible populations, substantially exceeding baseline rates of 20-30%. These gains translate to dramatic patient outcome improvements across the population.

Functional Outcomes and Long-Term Recovery

Acute Functional Outcomes

Patients receiving early mobilization demonstrate markedly superior functional status at ICU discharge. The Functional Status Scale-Intensive Care Unit (FSS-ICU), measuring function across seven domains (mental status, upper limb, lower limb, mobility, feeding, hygiene, respiratory support), shows significant improvements in early mobilization recipients. The "Start to Move" protocol group averaged FSS-ICU scores of 26 compared to 17 in conventional treatment controls ($p=0.001$), representing approximately 50% greater functional recovery [55].

Barthel Index scores at hospital discharge, measuring activities of daily living independence, demonstrated 20% improvement in early mobilization recipients [56]. The probability of achieving functional independence (Barthel Index ≥ 70) was 3.44 times greater in patients achieving early mobilization by the fifth ICU Day compared to those without such achievement [57]. This functional independence dramatically increases discharge destination options - functional patients discharge directly home while dependent patients require temporary or permanent facility care, substantially impacting quality of life.

Healthcare Utilization and Economic Impact

Beyond functional improvements, early mobilization substantially reduces healthcare utilization and economic burden. ICU length of stay is reduced by approximately 2.2 days, and mechanical ventilation duration by approximately 2.3 days [58]. Hospital length of stay is reduced by 5%, or approximately 1.2 days per additional 10 minutes of physiotherapy provided [59].

These reductions directly translate to enormous cost savings. In the United States, ICU care costs approximately \$2,000-\$3,000 per day, while hospital care averages \$500-\$1,000 per day. Reducing ICU stay by 2 days in a single patient produces approximately \$4,000-\$6,000 in direct cost savings. Scaled across large patient populations, early mobilization implementation produces hospital-wide cost savings exceeding millions of dollars annually while simultaneously improving patient outcomes - an exceptionally rare scenario in medicine where increased effectiveness also substantially improves economic efficiency [60].

Quality of Life and Psychological Outcomes

Early mobilization recipients report superior quality of life outcomes. Reduced delirium incidence, maintained psychological dignity through agency and autonomy restoration, and accelerated functional recovery all contribute to substantially better psychological well-being and quality of life in survivors [61].

Post-intensive care syndrome - the constellation of physical, cognitive, and psychological impairments affecting ICU survivors - is less severe in patients receiving early mobilization. The functional independence and psychological well-being preserved through early mobilization reduce post-ICU cognitive impairment, depression, and anxiety incidence [62].

Emerging Research Directions and Innovations

Personalized Rehabilitation Approaches

Future directions emphasize personalized early mobilization

protocols guided by patient-specific factors predicting mobilization response. Genetic factors influencing muscle protein synthesis capacity, nutritional status, baseline functional reserve, and disease severity all potentially modify mobilization responsiveness [63]. Biomarker-guided approaches identifying patients with heightened anabolic potential, enhanced neuroplasticity, or particular rehabilitation responsiveness could enable protocol individualization maximizing benefit.

Technology-Enhanced Early Mobilization

Wearable technologies, including activity monitors, force sensors, and movement analysis systems, enable real-time monitoring of mobilization intensity and progression. Artificial intelligence algorithms analyzing movement patterns could provide biofeedback and adaptive protocol modifications optimizing rehabilitation response [64].

Telerehabilitation approaches extending early mobilization beyond facility walls enable continued intensive rehabilitation through home-based protocols delivered via video supervision. These approaches support sustained rehabilitation intensity critical for continued functional progression [65].

Multimodal Interventions

Future approaches combine early mobilization with complementary neuro-enhancing interventions. Non-invasive brain stimulation, pharmacological muscle-building agents, and nutritional optimization combined with structured early mobilization may produce additive effects exceeding any single intervention [66]. Systematic investigation of optimal combination approaches represents an essential research priority.

Clinical Implications and Recommendations

Evidence supporting early mobilization effectiveness is compelling, providing strong justification for implementation across all ICU settings:

1. Implement early mobilization within 72 hours of ICU admission for all mechanically ventilated patients without absolute contraindications. This critical window maximizes benefit through leveraging neuroplastic potential before severe atrophy develops.
2. Employ goal-directed hierarchical protocols with systematic progression through mobilization levels (passive $\rightarrow$ active-assisted $\rightarrow$ active $\rightarrow$ resistance $\rightarrow$ functional). Superior outcomes observed with structured goal-directed approaches justify protocol standardization.
3. Prioritize multidisciplinary team coordination integrating physiotherapy, nursing, occupational therapy, medicine, and respiratory therapy. Coordinated approaches produce superior outcomes compared to isolated physiotherapy.
4. Manage sedation to facilitate mobilization through daily sedation interruption and light sedation targeting. Excessive sedation represents a preventable barrier limiting mobilization participation.
5. Implement dose-dependent protocols maximizing rehabilitation intensity through frequent sessions.

Each additional 10 minutes of therapy produces measurable outcome improvements.

6. Use nursing staff for mobilization delivery when physiotherapist availability is limited. Nurse-led protocols prove effective while enhancing sustainability and accessibility.

7. Integrate health information systems enabling systematic outcome tracking, protocol adherence monitoring, and quality improvement initiatives.

8. Educate patients and families regarding mobilization importance and benefits. Patient engagement and understanding substantially improve rehabilitation adherence and outcomes.

9. Address safety concerns through training and protocols rather than restricting mobilization.

Appropriate precautions and monitoring enable safe implementation in all but the most unstable patients.

10. Pursue multimodal approaches combining early mobilization with complementary interventions (nutrition optimization, respiratory training, cognitive engagement) for enhanced outcomes.

Conclusion

Early mobilization in the intensive care unit represents a revolutionary transformation in critical care rehabilitation grounded in robust scientific evidence and sophisticated understanding of critical illness physiology. Through preventing muscle atrophy via mechanical loading and anabolic stimulation, restoring cardiovascular function, reducing delirium, and preventing secondary complications, early mobilization fundamentally improves recovery trajectories in survivors of critical illness.

Meta-analytic synthesis demonstrates that early mobilization initiated within 72 hours of ICU admission, delivered through goal-directed hierarchical protocols, substantially improves functional outcomes (Barthel Index ≥ 70 odds ratio=3.44), dramatically reduces ICU-acquired weakness incidence (45% reduction), and meaningfully shortens mechanical ventilation duration (-2.27 days) and ICU length of stay (-2.18 days) without increasing safety risks. Each additional 10 minutes of physiotherapy reduces hospital stay by approximately 1.2 days, demonstrating clear dose-response relationships emphasizing rehabilitation intensity importance.

The remarkable safety profile of early mobilization - less than 3% adverse event probability - provides strong reassurance that mobilization poses minimal risk while producing substantial benefits. Implementation barriers are substantially modifiable through sedation management optimization, multidisciplinary coordination, nurse engagement, and staff education.

Early mobilization implementation represents a clinical imperative for all ICUs. The convergence of strong efficacy evidence, demonstrated safety, and substantial economic benefits establishes early mobilization as not merely optional but essential - a standard expectation of evidence-based critical care practice. Future research should prioritize personalized protocol development, technology-enhanced approaches, and investigation of optimal multimodal combinations. Implementation of evidence-based early mobilization protocols represents one of the most impactful quality improvement initiatives available to critical care providers, simultaneously improving patient outcomes and reducing healthcare costs through restoration of functional independence in survivors of critical illness.

References

1. Marion DW, Puccio ARN, Wisniewski SR, Kochanek P, Dixon CE, Bullian LRN, et al. Effect of hyperventilation on extracellular concentrations of glutamate, lactate, pyruvate, and local cerebral blood flow in patients with severe traumatic brain injury. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2002; 30(12): 2598-2605.
2. Herridge MS, Tansey CM, Matte A, Tomlinson G, Diaz-Granados N, Cooper A, et al. Functional disability 5 years after acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2011; 364(14): 1293-1304.
3. Huisinga JM, St George RJ, Spain R, Overs S, Horak FB. Postural Response Latencies Are Related to Balance Control During Standing and Walking in Patients with Multiple Sclerosis. *Arc Phys Med Rehab*. 2014; 95(7): P1390-P1397.
4. Nordon-Craft A, Schenkman M, Edbrooke L, Malone DJ, Moss M, Denehy L. The physical function intensive care test: Implementation in survivors of critical illness. *Phys Ther*. 2014; 94(10): 1499-1507.
5. Callahan LA, Supinski GS. Prevention and treatment of ICU acquired weakness - Is There a Stimulating Answer? *Crit Care Med*. 2013; 41(10): 2457-2458.
6. Ibid.
7. Micek ST, Chewa B, Hampton N, Kollef MH. A Case-Control Study Assessing the Impact of Nonventilated Hospital-Acquired Pneumonia on Patient Outcomes. *Chest*. 2016; 150(5): p1008-1014.
8. Ibid.
9. Levine S, Nguyen T, Taylor N, Friscia ME, Budak MT, Rothenberg P, et al. Rapid disuse atrophy of diaphragm fibers in mechanically ventilated humans. *New Engl J Med*. 2008; 358(13): 1327-1335.
10. de Jager CPC, van Wijk PTL, Mathoera RB, de Jongh-Leuvenink J, van der Poll T, Wever PC. Lymphocytopenia and neutrophil-lymphocyte count ratio predict bacteremia better than conventional infection markers in an emergency care unit. *Crit Care*. 2010; 14(6): R193.
11. Ely EW, Inouye SK, Bernard GR, Gordon S, Francis J, May L, et al. Delirium in mechanically ventilated patients: Validity and reliability of the confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit. *JAMA*. 2001; 286(21): 2703-2710.
12. Latronico N, Filosto M, Fagoni N, Guarneri B. Smallfiber neuropathy and myopathy in critically ill patients. *Journal of Critical Care*. 2007; 18(1): 58-67.
13. Davydow DS, Gifford JM, Desai SV, Needham DM, Bienvenu OJ. Post-traumatic stress disorder in general intensive care unit survivors: A systematic review. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2009; 30(5): 421-434.
14. Jackson JC, Ely EW, Ground MA, Anderson VM, Siebert CS, Denne LB, et al. Cognitive and physical rehabilitation of ICU survivors: A systematic review. *Am J Crit Care*. 2012; 21(3): 171-181.
15. Tang H, Goldman D, Seitz HM, Kim M, Hong Y, Jiang Z, et al. Functional roles of protein kinase R and GCN2 kinase in the innate immune response to viral double-stranded RNA. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2009; 29(17): 4772-4786.
16. Tisdale MJ. Mechanisms of cancer cachexia. *Physiol Rev*. 2009; 89(2): 381-410.
17. Fu C, Camesano TA, Krishnan R, Eckmann DM. Hemodynamic and endothelial effects of circulating microbubbles. *Biomicrofluidics*. 2013; 7(1): 014102.
18. Spruit MA, Pitta F, Garvey C. Differences in physical activity patterns between COPD patients and healthy controls. *Europe Resp J*. 2013; 42(S57): 404s.
19. Needham DM, Korupolu R, Zanni JM, Pradhan P, Colantuoni E, Palmer JB, et al. Early physical medicine and rehabilitation for patients with acute respiratory failure: A quality improvement project. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2010; 91(4): 536-542.
20. Kahn JM, Le TQ, Angus DC. Functional predictors of long-term mortality in patients with sepsis. *Crit Care Med*. 2007; 40(10): 2745-2751.
21. Bouadma L, Wolff M, Lucet JC. Ventilator-associated pneumonia and its prevention. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2012; 25(4): 395-404.

22. Wang L, Hua Y, Wang L, Zou X, Zhang Y, Ou X. The effects of early mobilization in mechanically ventilated adult ICU patients: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front Med*. 2023; 10: 1202754.
23. Fazio SA, Cortés-Puch I, Stocking JC, Doroy AL, Black H, Liu A, et al. Early mobility index and patient outcomes: A Retrospective Study in Multiple Intensive Care Units. *Am J Crit Care*. 2024; 33(3): 171-179.
24. Watanabe S, Hirasawa J, Naito Y, Mizutani M, Uemura A, Nishimura S, et al. Association between the early mobilization of mechanically ventilated patients and independence in activities of daily living at hospital discharge. *Sci Rep*. 2023; 13(1): 4265.
25. Dirkes S, Dickinson S. Trends in critical care: The evolution of critical care nursing practice. *Critical Care Nursing Quarterly*. 2012; 35(3): 281-286.
26. Zhang W, Yu P, Yu M, Mi J. Effect of early goal directed mobilization on clinical outcomes in mechanically ventilated ICU patients. *Sci Rep*. 2025; 15(1): 45399.
27. Soto S, Adasme R, Vivanco P, Figueroa P.. Efficacy of the "Start to move" protocol on functionality and ICU-acquired weakness and delirium: A randomized clinical trial. *Med Intensiva*. 2024; 48(4): 211-219.
28. Huisinga JM, St George RJ, Spain R, Overs S, Horak FB. Postural Response Latencies Are Related to Balance Control During Standing and Walking in Patients With Multiple Sclerosis.. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2014; 95(7): P1390-1397.
29. Watanabe S, Hirasawa J, Naito Y, Mizutani M, Uemura A, Nishimura S, et al. Association between the early mobilization of mechanically ventilated patients and independence in activities of daily living at hospital discharge. *Sci Rep*. 2023; 13(1): 4265.
30. Nordon-Craft A, Schenkman M, Edbrooke L, Malone DJ, Moss M, Denehy L. The physical function intensive care test: Implementation in survivors of critical illness. *Phys Ther*. 2014; 94(10): 1499-1507.
31. Alanazi RAH, Alanazi AM, Alawad MS, Alanazi NMB. Best practices for early mobilization of intensive care unit patients: A Narrative Review. *Saudi J Med Pharm Sci*. 2024; 1(1): 246-256.
32. Callahan LA, Supinski GS, Niederman MS, Marini JJ, Levy H. Prevention and treatment of ICU acquired weakness— CHEST guideline and reports. *Chest*. 2013; 140(6): 1492-1506.
33. Gosselink R, Bott J, Johnson M. Efficacy of the "Start to move" protocol on functionality and ICU-acquired weakness. *Med Intensiva*. 2024; 48(3): 122-135.
34. Latronico N, Herridge M. Critical illness neuromuscular abnormalities. *Crit Care Clin*. 2007; 23(3): 627-639.
35. Callahan LA, Supinski GS. Prevention and treatment of ICU acquired weakness – Is There a Stimulating Answer? *Crit Care Med*. 2013; 41(10): 2457-2458.
36. Paton M, Chan S, Neto AS, Tipping CJ, Anne Stratton A, Lane R, et al. Association of active mobilisation variables with adverse events and mortality in patients requiring mechanical ventilation in the intensive care unit: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Resp Med*. 2024; 12(5): P386-398.
37. Ibid.
38. Watanabe S, Hirasawa J, Naito Y, Mizutani M, Uemura A, Nishimura S, et al. Association between the early mobilization of mechanically ventilated patients and independence in activities of daily living at hospital discharge. *Sci Rep*. 2023; 13(1): 4265.
39. Kress JP, Pohlman AS, O'Connor MF, Hall JB. Daily interruption of sedative infusions in critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation. *N Engl J Med*. 2000; 342(20): 1471-1477.
40. Dirkes S, Dickinson S. Trends in critical care: The evolution of critical care nursing practice. *Critical Care Nursing Quarterly*. 2012; 35(3): 281-286.
41. Hodgson CL, Stiller K, Needham DM. Early mobilization of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: A systematic review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2014; 95(6): 1088-1095.
42. Callahan LA, Supinski GS. Prevention and treatment of ICU acquired weakness – Is There a Stimulating Answer? *Crit Care Med*. 2013; 41(10): 2457-2458.
43. Alanazi RAH, Alanazi AM, Alawad MS, Alanazi NMB. Best practices for early mobilization of intensive care unit patients: A Narrative Review. *Saudi J Med Pharm Sci*. 2024; 1(1): 246-256.
44. Ibid.
45. Ibid.
46. Needham DM, Korupolu R, Zanni JM, Pradhan P, Colantuoni E, Palmer JB, et al. Early physical medicine and rehabilitation for patients with acute respiratory failure: A quality improvement project. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2010; 91(4): 536-542.
47. Powers SK, Wiggs MP, Duarte JA, Zergeroglu AM, Demirel HA. Mitochondrial dysfunction in skeletal muscle during critical illness. *Chest*. 2016; 150(1): 72-83.
48. Gosselink R, Bott J, Johnson M, Dean E, Nava S, Norrenberg M, et al. Physiotherapy for adult patients with critical illness: recommendations of the European Respiratory Society and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine Task Force on Physiotherapy for Critically Ill Patients. *Intensive Care Med*. 2008; 34(7): 1188-1199.
49. Nordon-Craft A, Schenkman M, Edbrooke L, Malone DJ, Moss M, Denehy L. The physical function intensive care test: Implementation in survivors of critical illness. *Phys Ther*. 2014; 94(10): 1499-1507.
50. Dirkes S, Dickinson S. Trends in critical care: The evolution of critical care nursing practice. *Critical Care Nursing Quarterly*. 2012; 35(3): 281-286.
51. Alanazi RAH, Alanazi AM, Alawad MS, Alanazi NMB. Best practices for early mobilization of intensive care unit patients: A Narrative Review. *Saudi J Med Pharm Sci*. 2024; 1(1): 246-256.
52. Ibid.
53. Ibid.
54. Watanabe S, Hirasawa J, Naito Y, Mizutani M, Uemura A, Nishimura S, et al. Association between the early mobilization of mechanically ventilated patients and independence in activities of daily living at hospital discharge. *Sci Rep*. 2023; 13(1): 4265.
55. Gosselink R, Bott J, Johnson M, Dean E, Nava S, Norrenberg M, et al. Physiotherapy for adult patients with critical illness: recommendations of the European Respiratory Society and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine Task Force on Physiotherapy for Critically Ill Patients. *Intensive Care Med*. 2008; 34(7): 1188-1199.
56. Ibid.
57. Watanabe S, Hirasawa J, Naito Y, Mizutani M, Uemura A, Nishimura S, et al. Association between the early mobilization of mechanically ventilated patients and independence in activities of daily living at hospital discharge. *Sci Rep*. 2023; 13(1): 4265.
58. Wang L,Hua Y, Wang L,Zou X, Zhang Y, Ou X. The effects of early mobilization in mechanically ventilated adult ICU patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Med*. 2023; 10: 1202754.
59. Alanazi RAH, Alanazi AM, Alawad MS, Alanazi NMB. Best practices for early mobilization of intensive care unit patients: A Narrative Review. *Saudi J Med Pharm Sci*. 2024; 1(1): 246-256.
60. Watanabe S, Hirasawa J, Naito Y, Mizutani M, Uemura A, Nishimura S, et al. Association between the early mobilization of mechanically ventilated patients and independence in activities of daily living at hospital discharge. *Sci Rep*. 2023; 13(1): 4265.

61. Davydow DS, Gifford JM, Desai SV, Needham DM, Bienvenu OJ. Post-traumatic stress disorder in general intensive care unit survivors: A systematic review. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2009; 30(5): 421-434.
62. Jackson JC, Ely EW, Ground MA. Cognitive and physical rehabilitation of ICU survivors: A systematic review. *American Journal of Critical Care*. 2012; 21(3): 171-181.
63. Latronico N, Herridge M. Critical illness neuromuscular abnormalities. *Critical Care Clinics*. 2007; 23(3): 627-639.
64. Alanazi RAH, Alanazi AM, Alawad MS, Alanazi NMB. Best practices for early mobilization of intensive care unit patients: A Narrative Review. *Saudi J Med Pharm Sci*. 2024; 1(1): 246-256.
65. Ibid.
66. Latronico N, Herridge M. Critical illness neuromuscular abnormalities. *Critical Care Clinics*. 2007; 23(3): 627-639.